

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21323/2618-9771-2025-8-4-595-606>



Received 11.10.2025

Accepted in revised 10.12.2025

Accepted for publication 12.12.2025

© Edo G. I., Mafe A. N., Gaaz T. S., Iwanegbe I., Jikah A. N., Emumejaye K., Yousif E., Owheru J. O., Igbuku U. A., Oghroro, E. E. A., Makia R. S., Essaghah A. E. A., Ahmed D. S., Umar H., 2025

Available online at <https://www.fsjour.com/jour>

Review article

Open access

## FOOD-CONTACT SURFACES COATED WITH ANTIMICROBIAL POLYMERIC MATERIALS

Great I. Edo<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Alice N. Mafe<sup>3</sup>, Tayser S. Gaaz<sup>4</sup>, Izuwa Iwanegbe<sup>5</sup>, Agatha N. Jikah<sup>6</sup>, Kugbere Emumejaye<sup>7</sup>, Emad Yousif<sup>2</sup>, Joseph O. Owheru<sup>8</sup>, Ufuoma A. Igbuku<sup>1</sup>, Ephraim E. A. Oghroro<sup>9</sup>, Raghda S. Makia<sup>10</sup>, Arthur E. A. Essaghah<sup>11</sup>, Dina S. Ahmed<sup>12</sup>, Huzaifa Umar<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, Al-Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Taraba State University Jalingo, Taraba State, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Department of Prosthetics and Orthotics Engineering, College of Engineering and Technologies, Al-Mustaqbal University, Babylon, Iraq

<sup>5</sup>Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>8</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>9</sup>Department of Petroleum Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>10</sup>Department of Plant Biotechnology, College of Biotechnology, Al-Nahrain University, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>11</sup>Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria

<sup>12</sup>Department of Chemical and Petroleum Industries Engineering Techniques, Polytechnic College of Engineering Specializations – Baghdad, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>13</sup>Operational Research Center in Healthcare, Near East University, Nicosia, Cyprus

### KEYWORDS:

*antimicrobial coatings, food safety, polymeric materials, microbial contamination, food contact surfaces*

### ABSTRACT

The growing demand for improved food safety has fueled significant interest in antimicrobial polymeric coatings for food contact surfaces. This review offers a thorough examination of various antimicrobial coatings, including natural biopolymer-based, synthetic, and hybrid composites, spotlighting their modes of action and effectiveness in combating microbial contamination. It explores key antimicrobial agents such as metal-based compounds, natural antimicrobials, and synthetic chemicals, discussing their unique properties and potential applications. Equally, the review evaluates different testing methods for antimicrobial efficacy and identifies critical performance factors, including environmental conditions, surface properties, and the type of microbial contaminants. The hurdles and limitations of these coatings are also addressed, including concerns about durability, health and environmental impacts, and economic viability. Through detailed case studies, this review synthesizes current knowledge and offers insights into future research, with a particular focus on biodegradable polymers and innovative natural antimicrobials. The findings emphasize the potential of antimicrobial coatings to enhance food safety and inform the development of sustainable food packaging technologies, supporting advancements in health-conscious and environmentally friendly industrial applications.

Поступила 11.10.2025

Поступила после рецензирования 10.12.2025

Принята в печать 12.12.2025

© Эдо Г. И., Мафе Э. Н., Гааз Т. С., Иванегбе И., Джиках А. Н., Эмумеджайе К., Юсиф Э., Оверуо Дж. О., Игбуку У. А., Огроро Э. Э. А., Макия Р. С., Эссагах А. Э. А., Ахмед Д. С., Умар Х., 2025

<https://www.fsjour.com/jour>

Обзорная статья

Открытый доступ

## ПОВЕРХНОСТИ С АНТИМИКРОБНЫМИ ПОЛИМЕРНЫМИ ПОКРЫТИЯМИ, КОНТАКТИРУЮЩИЕ С ПИЩЕВЫМИ ПРОДУКТАМИ

Эдо Г.И.<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Мафе Э. Н.<sup>3</sup>, Гааз Т. С.<sup>4</sup>, Иванегбе И.<sup>5</sup>, Джиках А. Н.<sup>6</sup>, Эмумеджайе К.<sup>7</sup>, Юсиф Э<sup>2</sup>, Оверуо Дж. О<sup>8</sup>, Игбуку У. А.<sup>1</sup>, Огроро Э. Э. А.<sup>9</sup>, Макия Р. С.<sup>10</sup>, Эссагах А. Э. А.<sup>11</sup>, Ахмед Д. С.<sup>12</sup>, Умар Х.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Кафедра химии, Факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия

<sup>2</sup>Химический факультет, Колледж естественных наук, Университет Аль-Нахраин, Багдад, Ирак

<sup>3</sup>Кафедра биологических наук, Факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет Тараба, Джалинго, штат Тараба, Нигерия

<sup>4</sup>Кафедра протезирования и ортопедической инженерии, Инженерно-технологический колледж, Университет Аль-Мустакаль, Бабилон, Ирак

<sup>5</sup>Кафедра пищевых наук и питания, Сельскохозяйственный факультет, Университет Бенина, Бенин-сити, Нигерия

<sup>6</sup>Кафедра фармацевтики, Фармацевтической факультет, Ближневосточный университет, Никозия, Кипр

<sup>7</sup>Кафедра физики, Факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия

<sup>8</sup>Кафедра пищевой науки и технологии, Факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия

FOR CITATION: Edo, G. I., Mafe, A.N., Gaaz, T.S., Iwanegbe, I., Jikah, A.N., Emumejaye, K. et al. (2025). Food-contact surfaces coated with antimicrobial polymeric materials. *Food Systems*, 8(4), 595–606. <https://doi.org/10.21323/2618-9771-2025-8-4-595-606>

ДЛЯ ЦИТИРОВАНИЯ: Эдо, Г.И., Мафе, Э.Н., Гааз, Т.С., Иванегбе, И., Джиках, А.Н., Эмумеджайе, К. и др. (2025). Поверхности с антимикробными полимерными покрытиями, контактирующие с пищевыми продуктами. *Пищевые системы*, 8(4), 595–606. <https://doi.org/10.21323/2618-9771-2025-8-4-595-606>

<sup>9</sup>Кафедра химии нефти, Факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия

<sup>10</sup>Кафедра биотехнологии растений, Колледж биотехнологии, Университет Аль-Нахреин, Багдад, Ирак

<sup>11</sup>Кафедра городского и регионального планирования, Факультет экологических наук,

Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия

<sup>12</sup>Факультет инженерных технологий химической и нефтяной промышленности, Политехнический колледж инженерных специальностей — Багдад, Средний технический университет, Багдад, Ирак

<sup>13</sup>Центр оперативных исследований в области здравоохранения, Ближневосточный университет, Никозия, Кипр

#### КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: АННОТАЦИЯ

антимикробные покрытия, безопасность пищевых продуктов, полимерные материалы, микробное загрязнение, поверхности, контактирующие с пищевыми продуктами

Растущий спрос на повышение безопасности пищевых продуктов обусловил значительный интерес к антимикробным полимерным покрытиям для поверхностей, входящих в контакт с пищевыми продуктами. В этой статье подробно рассматриваются различные антимикробные покрытия, в том числе изготовленные на основе природных биополимеров, синтетических и гибридных композитов, освещаются механизмы их действия и эффективность в профилактике микробного загрязнения. В статье рассматриваются такие основные противомикробные средства, как соединения на основе металлов, природные противомикробные препараты и синтетические химикаты, обсуждаются их уникальные свойства и потенциальные сферы применения. Кроме того, в обзоре оцениваются различные методы тестирования антимикробной эффективности и выявляются критические факторы эффективности, включая условия окружающей среды, свойства поверхности и тип микробиологических загрязнений. Также рассматриваются препятствия и ограничения, связанные с применением таких покрытий, включая проблемы их долговечности, их воздействие на здоровье потребителя и на окружающую среду, а также экономическую целесообразность их применения. На основе подробных тематических исследований этот обзор обобщает современные знания, и предлагает идеи для будущих исследований, уделяя особое внимание биоразлагаемым полимерам и инновационным противомикробным средствам природного происхождения. Полученные результаты подчеркивают потенциал антимикробных покрытий в деле повышения безопасности пищевых продуктов, и служат основой для разработки экологически и экономически рациональных технологий упаковки пищевых продуктов, способствуя их продвижению в области промышленных применений, ориентированных на поддержание здоровья человека и экологии окружающей среды.

## 1. Introduction

Using of antimicrobial polymeric coatings in the food industry marks a significant advancement in ensuring food safety and maintaining quality standards [1]. These coatings are specifically designed to reduce microbial contamination risks on the food contact surfaces [2], thus handling critical concerns such as foodborne illnesses, spoilage, and the economic losses associated with these issues [3]. By embedding antimicrobial agents within polymer matrices [4], these coatings actively inhibit or eliminate microbial growth on surfaces that come into direct contact with food products [5]. This innovation plays a pivotal role in food processing, packaging, and storage, where contamination is a constant challenge [6]. Over time, research efforts have focused on enhancing the functionality of these coatings [7], utilizing natural, synthetic [8], and hybrid antimicrobials with diverse mechanisms of action to combat various microbial pathogens [9]. As well, these coatings are engineered to withstand various environmental conditions, including fluctuations in temperature and humidity common in food production and storage environments [10].

Ensuring the hygiene and safety of food contact surfaces is essential to prevent microbial contamination and uphold food safety standards [11]. Surfaces such as conveyor belts, cutting boards, storage containers, and packaging materials are frequently exposed to microorganisms that thrive in food environments [12]. Contamination of these surfaces can compromise food safety and facilitate the transmission of foodborne pathogens to the consumers [13], posing significant public health risks [14]. Pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria* spp. have often been linked to insufficient sanitation and poor hygiene practices in food production and handling facilities [15]. While traditional cleaning and sanitation methods are efficient [16], yet they may not fully eradicate resilient microorganisms or address biofilm formation [17], which provides a protective habitat for pathogens and fosters persistent contamination [18].

Antimicrobial coatings offer an additional, long-lasting layer of protection against microbial contamination on food contact surfaces [19]. These coatings provide continuous antimicrobial activity, effectively reducing microbial loads and preventing biofilm formation, which routine cleaning methods may struggle to manage [20]. By incorporating these coatings, the food industry can comply with safety regulations [21]. Moreover, antimicrobial coatings reduce the need for frequent cleaning cycles, minimize chemical substances usage [22], and help protect food processing equipment from microbial-induced corrosion and degradation, ultimately extending its operational lifespan [23].

This review delves into the incorporation of antimicrobial properties into polymeric coatings for food contact surfaces [24], focusing on their types, mechanisms of action, and factors influencing their effectiveness [25]. It examines natural biopolymer-based coatings, synthetic polymer coatings [26], and hybrid systems, focusing on their unique properties and benefits in combating microbial threats in food environ-

ments [27]. The review evaluates the antimicrobial agents embedded into these coatings, such as metal-based compounds, natural antimicrobials, and synthetic chemicals, alongside laboratory and field-testing methods to assess their efficacy under varying environmental conditions. Emphasizing prevention of biofilm formation and long-term activity, it also addresses issues like regulatory constraints, safety concerns, and cost implications of large-scale applications. By offering insights into the limitations and future directions for sustainable, biodegradable solutions, this review serves as a valuable resource for food safety professionals and manufacturers, thus aiming to enhance food contact surface safety. The aim of this research is to provide a comprehensive realization of antimicrobial polymeric coatings, to enable their effective application in order to improve food safety and public health.

## 2. Objects and methods

Scientific articles used for this review were retrieved from Scopus, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar databases. These databases were selected for their broad coverage of peer-reviewed scientific literature in antimicrobial coatings, polymeric materials, microbial contamination, food contact surfaces and food safety. The inclusion criteria focused on articles addressing antimicrobial coatings, food safety, polymeric materials, microbial contamination, food contact surfaces. Only English-language publications from 2015 to 2025 were considered to ensure recent and relevant findings. E-books, book chapters, review articles, and research papers that met these criteria were included. Exclusion criteria involved articles unrelated to microbial contamination in antimicrobial coatings, food safety, polymeric materials, microbial contamination, food contact surfaces, those lacking scientific evidence, or those outside the defined scope. The initial search retrieved a large number of studies from each database using specific keywords. Duplicate records were identified and removed using reference management software to maintain accuracy and prevent redundancy. Titles, abstracts, and full texts of the remaining articles were screened to ensure relevance to the review's objectives. The final selection was based on the studies' scientific quality, methodological soundness, and alignment with the scope of this review. The keywords used in the searches included: antimicrobial coatings, food safety, polymeric materials, microbial contamination, and food contact surfaces.

## 3. Types of antimicrobial polymeric coatings

Antimicrobial polymeric coatings are crucial for improving food safety by preventing microbial contamination on food contact surfaces [28]. These coatings are divided into three main categories: natural biopolymer-based coatings, synthetic polymeric ones, and hybrid or composite coatings [29]. Each type offers unique properties, advantages, and mechanisms, making them suitable for various food industry applications [30]. Getting familiar and acknowledging these coatings is essential for assessing their effectiveness in resolving the foodborne pathogens issues and spoilage concerns [31].

### 3.1. Natural biopolymer-based coatings

Natural biopolymer-based coatings are derived from biological sources such as plants, animals, and microorganisms [32]. They are valued for their biocompatibility, biodegradability, and antimicrobial properties, that make them safe for food applications without toxicity risks [33]. These coatings, including chitosan, alginate, gelatin, and cellulose derivatives, provide eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic coatings, which may raise concerns about environmental pollution persistence and chemical residues [34].

#### 3.1.1. Chitosan

Chitosan, a polysaccharide sourced from chitin found in crustacean and insect exoskeletons, is widely studied for its antimicrobial properties [35]. Its amino groups interact with microbial cell walls, disrupting them and causing cell death [36]. Chitosan also forms semi-permeable films that reduce oxidation and spoilage, extending food shelf life [37]. Its antimicrobial efficacy can be enhanced by adding essential oils (e. g., thyme or oregano) or nanoparticles (e. g., silver or zinc oxide), improving its mechanical properties and broadening its antimicrobial spectrum of activity [38].

#### 3.1.2. Alginate

Alginate, a polysaccharide from brown seaweed, is a popular biopolymer in food coatings due to its excellent film-forming properties and biocompatibility [39]. It forms hydrogels in the presence of divalent ions like calcium. While alginate itself features only limited antimicrobial activity, it effectively carries antimicrobial agents such as organic acids, essential oils, or bacteriocins [40]. It is commonly used to coat fresh-cut fruits, vegetables [41], fish, and poultry, due to enhancing moisture retention and inhibiting microbial growth [42]. Alginate's gel-like film supports the controlled release of antimicrobial agents, offering sustained protection [43]. For example, alginate coatings with nisin are able to inhibit growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Alongside, alginate reduces moisture loss and oxidation, maintaining food texture and freshness [44].

#### 3.1.3. Gelatin

Gelatin, a protein derived from collagen hydrolysis, is valued for its high-quality film formation and compatibility with antimicrobial agents [45]. It is used in edible coatings for meats, seafood, and dairy products prone to spoilage [46]. Gelatin-based films are often enhanced with organic acids, enzymes, or essential oils to combat pathogens like *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [47]. The antimicrobial effect depends on incorporated agents [48], such as cinnamon or clove essential oils [49]. Gelatin coatings also act as moisture and oxygen barriers, thus reducing lipid oxidation and microbial growth to preserve food quality [50].

#### 3.1.4. Cellulose derivatives

Cellulose derivatives, such as methylcellulose and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, are widely used in food coatings for their film-forming ability, biodegradability, and transparency [51]. Though inherently non-antimicrobial [52], cellulose films can be functionalized with organic acids or essential oils, such as rosemary or tea tree oil, to inhibit pathogens like *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria* spp. [53]. These coatings are ideal for fresh produce, maintaining texture and freshness by reducing moisture loss while preserving visual appeal [54]. Table 1 provides an overview of key polymeric coatings used in antimicrobial applications, including their sources, commonly incorporated antimicrobial agents, primary food applications, and benefits for food safety and preservation. Table 2 presents case studies, showcasing the antimicrobial effectiveness of natural biopolymer-based coatings, featuring their use with various agents on various food products, targeting specific microorganisms, and achieving notable results in enhancing food safety and quality.

Natural biopolymer-based antimicrobial coatings, such as alginate, chitosan [71], gelatin, and modified cellulose, offer significant benefits for food safety and quality preservation. These biopolymers create effective barriers against microbial contamination and promote environmental sustainability through their biodegradability [72]. By incorporating natural antimicrobial agents, these coatings improve food standards and extend shelf life [73], this way meeting consumer demand for safer

Table 1. Overview of antimicrobial polymeric coatings, their origins, and applications

Таблица 1. Обзор антимикробных полимерных покрытий, источников их происхождения и способов применения

Coating type	Source	Antimicrobial agents	Applications	Key benefits
Chitosan-based	Crustaceans (e. g., shrimp)	Essential oils, organic acids	Fruits, vegetables, meats	Biodegradable, broad-spectrum activity [55]
Alginate-based	Brown seaweed	Nisin, organic acids	Fresh-cut produce, poultry, seafood	Moisture retention, prolonged shelf life [56]
Gelatin-based	Animal collagen	Essential oils, enzymes	Meat, seafood, dairy products	Biocompatibility, oxygen barrier [57]
Cellulose Derivatives	Plant cell walls	Organic acids, essential oils	Fresh produce, bakery items	Transparent, moisture control [58]
Polyethylene (PE)	Petrochemical	Silver nanoparticles, quaternary ammonium	Fresh produce, bakery, dairy items	Moisture barrier, microbial resistance [59]
Polypropylene (PP)	Petrochemical	Chitosan, essential oils	Ready-to-eat meals, microwave containers	High heat resistance, flexible [60]
Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Petrochemical	Triclosan, silver ions	Fresh meat, fish, produce	Oxygen barrier, high clarity
Polylactic acid (PLA)	Corn starch, sugarcane	Natural plant extracts	Ready-to-eat meals, deli wraps	Compostable, renewable source
Polyglycolic Acid (PGA)	Sugar fermentation	Silver nanoparticles	Vacuum-sealed meat, fish	Excellent gas barrier, biodegradable
Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	Bacterial fermentation	Organic acids, essential oils	Fresh produce, dairy packaging	Fully biodegradable, high versatility [61]

Table 2. Case studies on the antimicrobial effectiveness of natural biopolymer-based coatings

Таблица 2. Примеры исследований антимикробной эффективности покрытий, изготовленных на основе природных биополимеров

Biopolymer coating	Antimicrobial agent	Food product	Target microorganisms	Efficacy outcome
Chitosan	Oregano essential oil	Fresh-cut apples	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	Reduced microbial load, extended shelf life [62]
Alginate	Nisin	Ready-to-eat turkey slices	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Inhibited <i>Listeria</i> sp. growth, enhanced preservation [63]
Gelatin	Carvacrol	Fresh strawberries	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> , <i>E. coli</i>	Reduced fungal and bacterial contamination, longer freshness [64]
Cellulose Derivative	Clove essential oil	Fresh tomatoes	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	Lowered microbial counts, maintained color and firmness [65]
starch-based coating	Garlic extract	Fresh-cut carrots	<i>S. aureus</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Inhibited microbes, reduced spoilage
Pectin-based coating	Cinnamon essential oil	Fresh cherries	<i>Alternaria</i> spp., <i>Penicillium</i> spp.	Suppressed fungal growth, delayed ripening [66]
Xanthan gum	Green tea extract	Fresh fish fillets	<i>Vibrio</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	Reduced spoilage microorganisms, odor control [67]
Pullulan	Lemon peel extract	Fresh lettuce	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	Improved microbial safety, maintained texture
Carboxymethyl cellulose	Thyme ESSENTIAL OIL	Fresh chicken breast	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> , <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	Reduced pathogen growth, extended shelf life [68]
Agar-based coating	Black pepper extract [69]	Fresh mangoes	<i>Colletotrichum</i> spp., <i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	Controlled fungal infections, prolonged shelf life [70]

solutions of natural preservation [74]. The accompanying case studies provide a thorough overview of each biopolymer's function and practical applications, making this section a comprehensive guide to natural biopolymer-based coatings [75].

### 3.2. Synthetic polymeric coatings

Synthetic polymeric coatings, derived from petrochemical sources, are commonly used in food packaging for their durability, flexibility, and specific barrier properties [76]. These coatings protect against moisture, oxygen, and microbial contamination, extending shelf life and food safety [77]. Popular synthetic polymers like polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) are often enhanced with antimicrobial agents to create active packaging solutions that inhibit microbial growth [78].

#### 3.2.1. Polyethylene (PE)

PE is widely used due to its excellent moisture barrier, flexibility, and chemical resistance. It is available in low-density (LDPE) and high-density (HDPE) forms, with LDPE used for flexible packaging and HDPE for rigid containers [79]. PE can be infused with antimicrobial agents like silver nanoparticles and organic acids to reduce growth of pathogens (e. g., *E. coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*). Its low permeability also helps maintain freshness in food products like baked goods, fruit and vegetables produce, and dairy [80].

#### 3.2.2. Polypropylene (PP)

PP is known for its clarity, chemical resistance, and high thermal stability, making it ideal for microwaveable food containers and high-temperature applications [81]. It is less prone to cracking and can be modified with antimicrobial agents such as silver compounds and chitosan [82]. PP's low moisture transmission rate helps maintain the texture and moisture of fresh fruit and vegetables produce, meats, and other perishable foods [83].

#### 3.2.3. Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)

PVC is a strong, transparent, and flexible polymer used for packaging fresh meat, poultry, and vegetable produce. It provides a strong oxygen barrier, slowing oxidation and preserving freshness [84]. When enhanced with antimicrobial agents like triclosan or silver ions, PVC inhibits microbial growth, particularly in meat and fish packaging [85]. However, concerns about certain additives have led to the exploration of safer antimicrobial alternatives [86]. As shown in Table 3, case studies compare synthetic antimicrobial coatings with natural biopolymer-based alternatives for food preservation.

Synthetic polymeric coatings, such as polyethylene, polypropylene, and polyvinyl chloride, are crucial in food packaging due to their protective properties and versatility [89]. Enhanced with antimicrobial agents, these coatings actively reduce microbial contamination, supporting food safety and extending shelf life [90]. By inhibiting spoilage organisms and pathogens, they offer a capable and cost-effective solution for preserving food quality.

### 3.3. Hybrid and composite coatings

Hybrid and composite coatings, that combine natural and synthetic polymers, offer enhanced food preservation [91]. These coatings integrate the biodegradability and biocompatibility of natural polymers with the strength and durability of the synthetic ones. This synergy improves antimicrobial efficacy, mechanical stability, and prolonged shelf life for perishable foods [92].

Natural polymers like chitosan [93] and alginate are combined with synthetic polymers such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) to

create effective antimicrobial films [32]. These coatings allow better control over antimicrobial agent release, thus offering sustained protection against microbial growth [9].

#### 3.3.1. Benefits of hybrid and composite coatings

Hybrid coatings provide multiple advantages. Natural polymers like chitosan and alginate offer inherent antimicrobial properties, improving food safety and shelf life [94]. They are biodegradable, and relevant to environmental concerns [95], while synthetic polymers like PVA, PE, and PP add strength and durability, making the coatings suitable for food packaging and handling [96].

#### 3.3.2. Examples of hybrid and composite coatings

Chitosan-polyethylene composites: Combine chitosan's antimicrobial activity with polyethylene's barrier properties, suitable for fresh produce and perishable items [97].

Alginate-polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) coatings: Offer biodegradability, barrier function, and flexibility, ideal for fresh fruits and vegetables. Antimicrobial agents like nisin or lysozyme enhance effectiveness [98].

Gelatin-polypropylene films: Combine gelatin's film-forming properties with polypropylene's strength, ideal for meats and dairy products [99].

Cellulose-polyvinyl chloride (PVC) Composites: Provide a durable, biodegradable option for high-moisture foods, protecting against microbes like *Listeria* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. [100].

#### 3.3.3. Complications and considerations in hybrid coatings

Developing hybrid coatings presents obstacles, including ensuring compatibility between natural and synthetic components with differing solubilities, thermal properties, and mechanical behaviors [101]. Achieving uniform distribution of antimicrobial agents within the matrix can also impact effectiveness [102]. While hybrid coatings are more eco-friendly than fully synthetic ones, their biodegradability depends on the ratio of natural components to synthetic materials [103]. Efforts are underway to explore biodegradable synthetic polymers and bio-based additives to enhance sustainability without sacrificing antimicrobial performance or durability [104]. Table 4 demonstrates the effectiveness of hybrid/composite antimicrobial coatings in reducing microbial growth, prolonging shelf life, and preserving the sensory quality of various perishable food products.

Hybrid and composite coatings combine the strengths of natural and synthetic polymers to create effective antimicrobial barriers, offering a balance of durability and environmental sustainability. Their customization for specific food safety needs makes them a promising solution for food packaging and preservation.

## 4. Mechanisms of antimicrobial action in polymeric coatings

Antimicrobial polymeric coatings prevent microbial growth on food surfaces through various mechanisms [114]. These can be broadly classified into release-based and contact-ideal mechanisms, both essential for optimizing coating formulations for food preservation [115].

### 4.1. Release-based mechanisms

Release-based mechanisms involve the gradual release of antimicrobial agents, such as silver ions, from the polymeric matrix [116]. This sustained release ensures long-lasting antimicrobial action. Silver ions disrupt bacterial cell membranes, inhibit enzymatic activity, generate reactive oxygen species [117], and cause genotoxic effects [118], leading

Table 3. Case studies comparing synthetic antimicrobial coatings and natural biopolymer-based alternatives for food preservation

Таблица 3. Примеры сравнения синтетических антимикробных покрытий и их альтернатив, изготовленных на основе природных биополимеров, применяемых для сохранения свежести пищевых продуктов

Coating type	Synthetic coating	Natural coating	Food product	Target microorganisms
Polyethylene vs. chitosan	Polyethylene + silver nanoparticles	Chitosan + oregano oil	Fresh-cut apples	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>Salmonella</i> spp. [87]
Polypropylene vs. gelatin	Polypropylene + essential oils	Gelatin + carvacrol	Fresh strawberries	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> , <i>E. coli</i>
PVC vs. alginate	PVC + triclosan	Alginate + nisin	Fresh-cut turkey	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>
PLA vs. starch	PLA + silver Nanoparticles	starch + garlic Extract	Fresh-cut carrots	<i>S. aureus</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>
Polypropylene vs. pectin	Polypropylene + citric acid	pectin + Cinnamon oil	Fresh cherries	<i>Alternaria</i> spp., <i>Penicillium</i> spp.
PVC vs. xanthan gum	PVC + silver ions	xanthan gum + Green tea extract	Fish fillets	<i>Vibrio</i> sp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.
Polyethylene vs. cellulose	Polyethylene + zinc oxide	Cellulose + clove oil	Fresh tomatoes	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.
PP vs. Carboxymethyl cellulose	PP + silver ions	CMC + thyme essential oil	Fresh chicken breast	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> , <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.
PLA vs. agar	PLA + organic acids	Agar + Black Pepper Extract	Fresh mangoes	<i>Colletotrichum</i> sp., <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. [88]
PVC vs. pullulan	PVC + silver ions	Pullulan + lemon peel extract	Fresh lettuce	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>

Table 4. Performance data of hybrid/composite antimicrobial coatings in food preservation, detailing various natural and synthetic polymer combinations, antimicrobial agents, target microorganisms, and key performance results

Таблица 4. Данные об эффективности гибридных/композитных антимикробных покрытий, используемых для сохранения свежести пищевых продуктов, с подробным описанием различных комбинаций природных и синтетических полимеров, антимикробных агентов, целевых микроорганизмов и ключевых результатов действия покрытий

Natural polymer	Synthetic polymer	Antimicrobial agent(s)	Food product	Target microorganisms
Chitosan	Polyethylene (PE)	Silver nanoparticles	Fresh produce	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> [105]
Alginate	Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	nisin	Fresh-cut apples	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>
Gelatin	Polypropylene (PP)	Thyme essential oil	Poultry	<i>Salmonella</i> spp., <i>E. coli</i> [106]
Cellulose	Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Zinc oxide	Fish fillets	<i>Vibrio</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. [107]
Pectin	Polyethylene (PE)	Cinnamon oil	Fresh strawberries	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> [108]
Xanthan gum	Polypropylene (PP)	Green tea extract	Leafy greens	<i>E. coli</i> , <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> [109]
Starch	Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)	Carvacrol	Fresh tomatoes	<i>Salmonella</i> spp., <i>E. coli</i> [110]
Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC)	Polyethylene (PE)	Garlic extract	Ready-to-eat meats	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> , <i>S. aureus</i> [111]
Chitosan	Polypropylene (PP)	Oregano oil	Fresh blueberries	<i>Alternaria</i> spp., <i>Penicillium</i> sp. [112]
Gelatin	Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)	Silver nanoparticles	Fresh chicken	<i>Campylobacter</i> spp., <i>S. aureus</i> [113]

to bacterial death [119]. The release kinetics can be adjusted to prolong antimicrobial activity, as demonstrated by chitosan and polyethylene coatings, which maintain activity for over 30 days against pathogens like *E. coli* and *S. aureus* [120].

#### 4.2. Contact-active mechanisms

Contact-active coatings kill microbes directly upon contact, offering immediate antimicrobial effects [121]. These coatings act through the surface properties like charge, topography, and antimicrobial functional groups to disrupt microbial cells [122]. For example, quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) and chitosan can damage bacterial membranes. In the same manner, micro/nano-scale features can physically rupture microbial cells [123]. These coatings also prevent biofilm formation, providing immediate protection. Coatings with silver nanoparticles or QACs exhibit both release and contact-active mechanisms [124], enhancing antimicrobial efficacy [125]. These mechanisms are crucial for enhancing food safety and quality by providing sustained or immediate antimicrobial protection [126].

#### 4.3. Biofilm prevention and disruption

Biofilm formation on food contact surfaces is a significant food safety challenge, as it protects harmful microbes from cleaning and sanitizing processes [127]. Biofilm-preventing and disrupting antimicrobial coatings are essential for minimizing contamination risks [128]. These coatings prevent microbial adhesion and actively disrupt existing biofilms, ensuring cleaner and safer surfaces in food environments [129].

##### 4.3.1. Mechanisms of biofilm prevention

Anti-adhesive surface properties: Hydrophobic coatings, like PTFE, reduce microbial attachment by minimizing surface contact [130].

Incorporation of antimicrobial agents: Coatings with antimicrobial agents, such as silver ions or essential oils, kill microbes and prevent biofilm formation [131].

Surface topography manipulation: Micro/nanostructured surfaces hinder microbial attachment by physically disrupting adhesion points [132].

Electrostatic repulsion: Charged coatings repel microbial cells with a similar charge, preventing initial adhesion [133].

##### 4.3.2. Mechanisms of biofilm disruption

Enzymatic degradation: Enzyme-infused coatings break down the biofilm's EPS matrix, thus facilitating its removal [134].

Release of reactive oxygen species (ROS): ROS damage microbial cells in biofilms, weakening the structure for its easier removal [135].

Interruption of quorum sensing: Coatings that block bacterial communication prevent biofilm maturation [136].

Mechanical disruption: Micro-textured surfaces can fragment biofilm structures with minimal mechanical force [137].

##### 4.3.3 Examples of biofilm-preventing and disrupting coatings

Silver-embedded coatings: Silver ions prevent microbial adhesion and degrade biofilms, effective against pathogens like *Listeria* spp. and *E. coli* [138].

Essential oil-based coatings: Natural oils like thymol and eugenol prevent and disrupt formation of biofilms, offering a food-safe option [139].

Chitosan and quaternary ammonium surfaces: Chitosan's positive charge and quaternary ammonium compounds effectively prevent adhesion and disrupt biofilms [140].

Biofilm prevention and disruption mechanisms are key aspects of antimicrobial polymeric coatings in the food industry, providing enhanced protection for food contact surfaces through physical and chemical deterrents to microbial adhesion and biofilm formation.

## 5. Types of antimicrobial agents in polymeric coatings

This section explores antimicrobial agents used in polymeric coatings for food contact surfaces, categorized into metal-based, natural, and synthetic chemicals [141]. Metal-based agents (e. g., silver, copper, zinc oxide) provide strong antimicrobial action and durability [142]. Natural antimicrobials (e. g., essential oils, enzymes) are valued for their biodegradability and health benefits [143], ideal for sustainable applications. Synthetic agents (e. g., quaternary ammonium compounds) are effective but yet raise concerns about their toxicity and environmental impact [144].

### 5.1. Metal-based agents in antimicrobial polymeric coatings

Metal-based agents, commonly used in nanoparticle form, offer broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against bacteria, fungi, and viruses [145]. These agents, including silver, copper, and zinc oxide, disrupt microbial growth through their particular mechanisms, enhancing the hygiene of food contact surfaces [146].

#### 5.1.1. Silver-based agents

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are incorporated into polymer coatings to maximize surface area. Silver ions (Ag<sup>+</sup>) disrupt cellular processes, causing cell death through oxidative stress and interference with DNA replication [147].

Applications: Used in food processing equipment and packaging to reduce growth of pathogens like *E. coli*, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria* spp. [148].

#### 5.1.2. Copper-based agents

Copper, often in nanoparticle form, is an effective antimicrobial agent. Copper ions disrupt microbial cell membranes, generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), and inhibit biofilm formation [149].

Applications: Used on food preparation surfaces and high-touch areas to prevent microbial colonization, effective against *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [150].

#### 5.1.3. Zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles

ZnO nanoparticles exhibit antimicrobial properties through ROS generation and disruption of microbial enzymes, making it effective against a wide range of microorganisms [151].

Applications: Used in food packaging and production surfaces, effective against *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Bacillus subtilis*.

### 5.1.4. Advantages of metal-based antimicrobial agents

Broad-spectrum activity: Effective against bacteria, fungi, and viruses.

Durability: Stable under exposure to various environmental conditions, suitable for long-term use in food processing [152].

Multiple mechanisms: Metal ions target various cellular functions, reducing microbial resistance.

Compatibility: Easily incorporated into different polymers [153].

### 5.1.5. Complications and considerations

Despite their effectiveness, metal-based agents may leach over time, thus potentially reducing their functional longevity. High metal concentrations can raise safety concerns, especially for food contact applications [154]. Regulatory guidelines are necessary to ensure both efficacy and safety [155].

## 5.2. Natural antimicrobials

Natural antimicrobial agents, derived from plant extracts [156], essential oils, and enzymes, are popular in polymeric coatings due to their eco-friendly properties [157], low toxicity, and biodegradability. These agents offer broad-spectrum antimicrobial efficacy against foodborne pathogens and can extend food shelf life when incorporated into coatings [144].

### 5.2.1. Essential oils

Essential oils (EOs) like oregano, thyme, cinnamon, and rosemary are effective against bacteria, fungi, and viruses [158]. Their antimicrobial activity is due to components like thymol and carvacrol, which disrupt microbial cell structures [145].

**Mechanism:** EOs increase membrane permeability, causing cell leakage and death, and some, like oregano and thyme, also prevent oxidation [146].

**Applications:** EOs are used in food packaging and edible coatings to protect against pathogens like *Salmonella* spp and *E. coli*, extending the shelf life of meats, produce, and dairy products [147].

### 5.2.2. Enzymes

Enzymes such as lysozyme, lactoferrin, and nisin are effective antimicrobial agents against Gram-positive bacteria, known for their natural origin and specificity [148].

**Mechanism:** Lysozyme breaks down bacterial cell walls, lactoferrin inhibits bacterial growth by binding iron, and nisin disrupts cell membranes [149].

**Applications:** Enzyme-based coatings are used in packaging for meat, cheese, and fresh produce, extending product shelf life [43].

### 5.2.3. Plant extracts

Plant extracts like garlic, green tea, and cranberry contain antimicrobial bioactive compounds such as phenolic compounds and flavonoids, offering a biodegradable alternative to synthetic antimicrobials [150].

**Mechanism:** These extracts disrupt cell walls [159], hinder nucleic acid synthesis, and interfere with microbial metabolism [160]. For instance, garlic extract contains allicin, which inhibits bacterial and fungal pathogens [151].

**Applications:** Plant extracts are used in coatings for fruits, vegetables, seafood, and meats to reduce spoilage and growth of pathogenic bacteria [152].

### 5.2.4. Advantages of natural antimicrobials

**Safety and biodegradability:** Generally recognized as safe (GRAS) and eco-friendly.

**Multiple mechanisms:** Reduces microbial resistance risk.

**Consumers' acceptance:** Increasing demand for natural ingredients in food.

**Synergistic effects:** Can be combined with other antimicrobials for enhanced effectiveness.

### 5.2.5. Complications and limitations

**Stability:** Volatile compounds like essential oils may lose efficacy over time or under harsh conditions.

**Sensory impact:** Flavors or odors may be imparted to food, which could be undesirable. [154]

**Cost and availability:** Extraction and purification are often more expensive and dependent on seasonality.

**Variable efficacy:** Efficacy can vary based on concentration, formulation, and environmental conditions.

Natural antimicrobials, such as essential oils, enzymes, and plant extracts, offer effective alternatives to synthetic agents in antimicrobial coatings [161]. They protect food safety by disrupting cell membranes, binding nutrients, and interfering with metabolism [162]. However, optimizing their stability, sensory properties, and integration into polymeric matrices is crucial for deploying their full potential in food contact applications [163].

## 5.3. Synthetic chemical agents

Synthetic chemical agents like Quaternary Ammonium Compounds (QACs) and Triclosan are commonly used in antimicrobial coatings due to their broad-spectrum activity against bacteria, fungi, and viruses [164]. They enhance food safety by preventing contamination on food contact surfaces. These agents offer stability [165], prolonged activity, and effectiveness at low concentrations. However, concerns about environmental impact, microbial resistance, and regulatory restrictions require careful evaluation of their using [166].

### 5.3.1. Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs)

QACs, such as benzalkonium chloride, disrupt integrity of microbial cell membranes by binding to negatively charged surfaces, thus causing leakage and cell death. They are effective against various pathogens, including *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* [167]. QACs create contact-active surfaces that kill microbes on contact without releasing chemicals into the environment. Despite their efficacy, concerns over microbial resistance have led to increased research for alternatives and prevention of microbial resistance development [168].

### 5.3.2. Triclosan

Triclosan inhibits bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis by blocking the enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase enzyme. It acts at low concentra-

tions, offering broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against bacteria and fungi [169]. However, concerns over its environmental persistence, bioaccumulation, and the rise of Triclosan-resistant bacteria have led to regulatory restrictions for its using [170]. As a result, safer and more sustainable alternatives are preferred for food-contact applications [171].

### 5.3.3. Advantages and limitations of synthetic chemical agents

The key benefits of synthetic agents like QACs and triclosan are their potent, long-lasting antimicrobial activity and effectiveness at low concentrations. However, their potential toxicity, environmental persistence, and risks of resistance development pose necessity for serious trials [172]. Hybrid approaches combining synthetic agents with natural antimicrobials or using encapsulation techniques are being explored to find the way to reduce environmental impact [173]. Despite these limitations, synthetic agents remain crucial in high-hygiene settings, with ongoing research focused on optimizing their use in sustainable antimicrobial solutions [174].

## 6. Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy in polymeric coatings

This section covers methods to assess the effectiveness of polymeric coatings in preventing or eliminating microbial contaminants on food contact surfaces [175]. Both laboratory and field testing approaches, such as microbial count reduction and zone of inhibition tests, are essential to ensure coatings meet food safety and preservation performance standards, particularly in food safety. Comparative studies help identify strengths and weaknesses across various coatings and agents.

### 6.1. Laboratory and field testing methods

Evaluation combines laboratory and field methods to ensure that coatings meet safety standards, maintain efficacy, and perform well in real-world conditions [176].

#### 6.1.1. Laboratory testing methods

**Agar diffusion test:** Tests antimicrobial action by observing the inhibition zone around a coating on an agar plate.

**Colony count method:** Measures microbial reduction by counting viable colonies after exposure to a coated surface [177].

**Time-kill assay:** Evaluates antimicrobial activity over time by counting viable cells at certain intervals.

**Biofilm assay:** Assesses the coating's ability to prevent or disrupt biofilm formation.

**Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing:** Determines the lowest concentration of antimicrobial agent needed to inhibit microbial growth [178].

#### 6.1.2. Field testing methods

**Swab testing in food processing environments:** Measures microbial load on coated surfaces.

**Environmental monitoring:** Tracks microbial levels in food production or packaging facilities over time.

**Simulated food processing:** Tests coatings under real processing conditions, including exposure to temperature, humidity, and food residues.

**Sensory analysis:** Checks if the coating affects the sensory properties of food products.

**Shelf-life testing:** Assesses the longevity of antimicrobial coatings and their impact on food preservation [179].

#### 6.1.3. Integrating laboratory and field testing

Combining laboratory tests for optimization with field tests for real-world validation ensures comprehensive evaluation of antimicrobial coatings across diverse conditions [180].

Testing methods, including the zone of inhibition test, microbial count reduction test, MIC test, time-kill assay, biofilm inhibition assay [181], and others, provide insights into coating efficacy, durability, and practical applicability in maintaining food safety and extending shelf-life [182].

Comparative studies are essential for evaluating antimicrobial polymeric coatings, offering insights into the performance of various coating types and antimicrobial agents [183].

## 7. Key factors affecting antimicrobial performance

The effectiveness of antimicrobial polymeric coatings depends on several factors that influence their performance in real-world applications [184].

### 7.1. Temperature and humidity

Temperature and humidity significantly impact the stability and efficacy of coatings. High temperatures can enhance antimicrobial release but may also degrade the materials [185]. Excessive humidity can compromise the coating's integrity [186], reduce effectiveness, and promote microbial growth. Optimal temperature and humidity are essential for long-term performance of the coatings in food preservation applications [187].

### 7.2. Surface characteristics and coating thickness

Surface texture affects microbial adhesion, as smoother surfaces improve antimicrobial efficacy [188]. Coating thickness influences the duration of antimicrobial effects but may alter material properties like flexibility and transparency [189]. The application method also affects coating uniformity and adhesion [190].

### 7.3. Type of microbial contaminant

The type of microorganism, including bacteria and fungi [191], affects the coating's effectiveness. Gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria give different responses to antimicrobial agents [192], and biofilms can shield microbes, reducing antimicrobial coating efficacy. Tailoring coatings to the microbial profile enhances food safety and reduces foodborne illness risks [193].

## 8. Hurdles and limitations of antimicrobial polymeric coatings

Antimicrobial polymeric coatings face setbacks in durability [93], health/environmental impact, and cost/practicality for industrial use. Key issues include:

### 8.1. Durability and longevity of antimicrobial effects

Coatings degrade over time due to the factors like temperature, moisture, UV light, and microbial activity, reducing their antimicrobial efficacy. Degradation mechanisms include hydrolysis, photodegradation, thermal degradation, and microbial breakdown.

Mechanical wear and tear from handling and cleaning can reduce the efficacy of antimicrobial coatings, especially in high-use environments like food processing [71]. Researches are needed to develop more durable coatings that retain antimicrobial properties under harsh conditions [194].

### 8.2. Health and environmental concerns

The use of synthetic antimicrobials in coatings raises health concerns, such as toxicity and the potential for chemical leaching into food, posing long-term risks. Not to mention, these agents can harm the environment by promoting antimicrobial resistance development and ecological imbalances [195]. Regulatory roadblocks exist, as many countries have strict rules regarding chemical additives in food contact materials.

### 8.3. Cost and practicality in industrial application

The high cost of developing antimicrobial polymeric coatings, due to advanced materials and production methods, is a major barrier to their widespread adoption in the food industry. Small and medium-sized en-

terprises (SMEs) may struggle to invest in these technologies, limiting competition [196]. To boot, drawbacks like compatibility with existing processes, scalability, and the need for specialized equipment complicate industrial application. Manufacturers must weigh the cost-effectiveness of these coatings against their benefits in food safety and shelf life. Ongoing researches are needed to create affordable, scalable, and user-friendly solutions for easy integration into food processing systems [197]. To fully realize the potential of these coatings, it is essential to address durability, health and environmental concerns, and cost-effectiveness.

## 9. Conclusion

This review highlights the critical role of antimicrobial polymeric coatings in enhancing food safety and preventing microbial contamination on food contact surfaces. Both natural biopolymer coatings, such as chitosan and alginate, and synthetic polymers like polyethylene and polypropylene, demonstrate significant antimicrobial efficacy. However, stumbling blocks such as coating durability, health safety and environmental concerns related to synthetic agents, and cost-effectiveness remain. Future research should prioritize the development of biodegradable and environmentally friendly polymers to reduce ecological impact and address regulatory concerns about synthetic antimicrobial agents. Incorporating natural antimicrobials, such as essential oils or plant extracts, into the coatings would offer safer, more sustainable alternatives. On top of that, research into hybrid and composite coatings, which combine the benefits of both natural and synthetic materials, would enhance antimicrobial performance and longevity, providing resistance to environmental factors like temperature fluctuations, moisture, and UV light. Exploring nanotechnology-based coatings and the synergy between antimicrobial coatings and other food preservation technologies, like modified atmosphere packaging, offers promising solutions for improving food safety and extending shelf life. Confronting scalability and cost-effectiveness through more affordable manufacturing processes is essential for widespread adoption in the food industry. Long-term studies on the safety and regulatory compliance of these coatings will ensure their safe use, and cooperation with regulatory bodies will help establish guidelines to meet safety standards. Continued researches, innovations, and interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential for overcoming current limitations and advancing antimicrobial coatings, ultimately contributing to safer food systems, improved public health, and more sustainable practices in food industries.

## REFERENCES

- Sukhareva, K., Chernetsov, V., Burmistrov, I. (2024). A review of antimicrobial polymer coatings on steel for the food processing industry. *Polymers*, 16(6), Article 809. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16060809>
- Abang, S., Wong, F., Sarbatly, R., Sariau, J., Bains, N. A. (2023). Bioplastic classifications and innovations in antibacterial, antifungal, and antioxidant applications. *Journal of Bioresources and Bioproducts*, 8(4), 361–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobab.2023.06.005>
- Mohammad, Z. H., Ahmad, F. (2024). Nanocoating and its application as antimicrobials in the food industry: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 254, Article 127906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.127906>
- Al-Maharma, A., Al-Hunaiti, N. (2019). Critical review of the parameters affecting the effectiveness of moisture absorption treatments used for natural composites. *Journal of Composites Science*, 3(1), Article 27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs3010027>
- Alkarr, S., Bin Saad, H., Soliman, M. (2024). On antimicrobial polymers: Development, mechanism of action, international testing procedures, and applications. *Polymers*, 16, Article 771. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16060771>
- Michel, M., Eldridge, A. L., Hartmann, C., Klassen, P., Ingram, J., Meijer, G. W. (2024). Benefits and challenges of food processing in the context of food systems, value chains and sustainable development goals. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 153, Article 104703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2024.104703>
- Alirezalu, K., Yaghoubi, M., Poorsharif, L., Aminnia, S., Kahve, H. I., Pateiro, M. et al. (2021). Antimicrobial polyamide-alginate casing incorporated with nisin and  $\epsilon$ -polyllysine nanoparticles combined with plant extract for inactivation of selected bacteria in nitrite-free Frankfurter-type sausage. *Foods*, 10(5), Article 1005. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10051005>
- Amrhar, R., Singh, J., Eesaee, M., Carrière, P., Saidi, A., Nguyen-Tri, P. (2025). Polymeric nanocomposites-based advanced coatings for antimicrobial and antiviral applications: A comprehensive overview. *Results in Surfaces and Interfaces*, 19, Article 100497. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsufi.2025.100497>
- Visan, A. I., Negut, I. (2024). Coatings based on essential oils for combating antibiotic resistance. *Antibiotics*, 13(7), Article 625. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13070625>
- Olawore, O., Ogunmola, M., Desai, S. (2024). Engineered nanomaterial coatings for food packaging: Design, manufacturing, regulatory, and sustainability implications. *Micromachines*, 15(2), Article 245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi15020245>
- Pakdel, M., Olsen, A., Bar, E. M. S. (2023). A review of food contaminants and their pathways within food processing facilities using open food processing equipment. *Journal of Food Protection*, 86(12), Article 100184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2023.100184>
- Yang, X., Narvaez-Bravo, C., Zhang, P. (2024). Driving forces shaping the microbial ecology in meat packing plants. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, Article 1333696. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1333696>
- Azamatov, B., Dzhes, A., Borisov, A., Kaliyev, D., Maratuly, B., Sagidugumar, A. et al. (2025). Antibacterial properties of copper-tantalum thin films: The impact of copper content and thermal treatment on implant coatings. *Heliyon*, 11(1), Article e41130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e41130>
- Onyeaka, H., Ghosh, S., Obileke, K., Miri, T., Odeyemi, O. A., Nwaiwu, O. et al. (2024). Preventing chemical contaminants in food: Challenges and prospects for safe and sustainable food production. *Food Control*, 155, Article 110040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2023.110040>
- Tohonon, A. C., Ouétchéhou, R., Hounsou, M., Zannou, O., Dabadé, D. S. (2025). Food hygiene in Sub-Saharan Africa: A focus on catering services. *Food Control*, 168, Article 110938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2024.110938>
- Babaei-Ghazvini, A., Acharya, B., Korber, D. R. (2021). Antimicrobial biodegradable food packaging based on chitosan and metal/metal-oxide bio-nanocomposites: A review. *Polymers*, 13(16), Article 2790. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13162790>
- Barik, M., BhagyaRaj, G. V. S., Dash, K. K., Shams, R. (2024). A thorough evaluation of chitosan-based packaging film and coating for food product shelf-life extension. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 16, Article 101164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101164>
- Liu, X., Yao, H., Zhao, X., Ge, C. (2023). Biofilm formation and control of foodborne pathogenic bacteria. *Molecules*, 28(6), Article 2432. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28062432>
- Birkett, M., Dover, L., Cherian Lukose, C., Wasy Zia, A., Tambuwala, M. M., Serrano-Aroca, A. (2022). Recent advances in metal-based antimicrobial coatings for high-touch surfaces. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(3), Article 1162. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031162>
- Negut, I., Albu, C., Bita, B. (2024). Advances in antimicrobial coatings for preventing infections of head-related implantable medical devices. *Coatings*, 14(3), Article 256. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings14030256>
- Dey, S., Nagababu, B. H. (2022). Applications of food color and bio-preservatives in the food and its effect on the human health. *Food Chemistry Advances*, 1, Article 100019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2022.100019>
- Barshutina, M., Yakubovskiy, D., Arsenin, A., Volkov, V., Barshutin, S., Vladimirova, A. et al. (2025). Biomimetic silicone surfaces for antibacterial applications. *Polymers*, 17(2), Article 213. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17020213>
- Kalajahi, S. T., Misra, A., Koerdt, A. (2024). Nanotechnology to mitigate microbially influenced corrosion (MIC). *Frontiers in Nanotechnology*, 6, Article 1340352. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnano.2024.1340352>

24. de Carvalho, T.B., Barbosa, J. B., Teixeira, P. (2023). Effectiveness and durability of a quaternary ammonium compounds-based surface coating to reduce surface contamination. *Biology*, 12(5), Article 669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology12050669>
25. Bizymis, A.-P., Kalantzi, S., Mamma, D., Tzia, C. (2023). Addition of silver nanoparticles to composite edible films and coatings to enhance their antimicrobial activity and application to cherry preservation. *Foods*, 12(23), Article 4295. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12234295>
26. Bromberg, L., Magariños, B., Concheiro, A., Hatton, T. A., Alvarez-Lorenzo, C. (2024). Nonleaching biocidal N-Halamine-Functionalized polyamine-, guanidine-, and hydantoin-based coatings. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 63(14), 6268–6278. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.4c00320>
27. da Cruz Nizer, W. S., Adams, M. E., Allison, K. N., Montgomery, M. C., Mosher, H., Cassol, E. et al. (2024). Oxidative stress responses in biofilms. *Biofilm*, 7, Article 100203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biofilm.2024.100203>
28. Song, Q., Xiao, Z., Gao, H., Chen, X., Wang, K., Zhao, R. et al. (2024). Antimicrobial polymeric coatings synthesized by solvent-free initiated Chemical Vapor Deposition: A review. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 494, Article 152287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2024.152287>
29. Zarrintaj, P., Seidi, F., Youssefi Azarfam, M., Khodadadi Yazdi, M., Erfani, A., Barani, M. et al. (2023). Biopolymer-based composites for tissue engineering applications: A basis for future opportunities. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 258, Article 110701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2023.110701>
30. Ueda, J. M., Morales, P., Fernández-Ruiz, V., Ferreira, A., Barros, L., Carochi, M. et al. (2023). Powdered foods: Structure, processing, and challenges: A review. *Applied Sciences*, 13(22), Article 12496. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app132212496>
31. Duda-Chodak, A., Tarko, A., Petka-Poniatowska, K. (2023). Antimicrobial Compounds in Food Packaging. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(3), Article 2457. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032457>
32. Satchanska, G., Davidova, S., Petrov, P. D. (2024). Natural and synthetic polymers for biomedical and environmental applications. *Polymers*, 16(8), Article 1159. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16081159>
33. Kalpana Manivannan, R., Sharma, N., Kumar, V., Jayaraj, I., Vimal, S., Umesh, M. (2024). A comprehensive review on natural macromolecular biopolymers for biomedical applications: Recent advancements, current challenges, and future outlooks. *Carbohydrate Polymer Technologies and Applications*, 8, Article 100536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpta.2024.100536>
34. Jahangiri, F., Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M. (2024). Sustainable biodegradable coatings for food packaging: Challenges and opportunities. *Green Chemistry*, 26(9), 4954–4974. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3GC02647G>
35. Nasaj, M., Chehelgerdi, M., Asghari, B., Ahmadih-Yazdi, A., Asgari, M., Kabiri-Samani, S. et al. (2024). Factors influencing the antimicrobial mechanism of chitosan action and its derivatives: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 277, Article 134321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.134321>
36. Bucataru, C., Ciobanasu, C. (2024). Antimicrobial peptides: Opportunities and challenges in overcoming resistance. *Microbiological Research*, 286, Article 127822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2024.127822>
37. Elashnikov, R., Ulbrich, P., Vokatá, B., Pavličková, V. S., Švorčík, V., Lyutakov, O. et al. (2021). Physically switchable antimicrobial surfaces and coatings: General concept and recent achievements. *Nanomaterials*, 11(11), Article 3083. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11113083>
38. Yan, X., Meng, F., Wigati, L. P., Van, T. T., Phuong, N. T. H., Koga, A. et al. (2024). Improvement of cross-linked films based on chitosan/diepoxy-poly (ethylene glycol) incorporating trans-cinnamaldehyde essential oil: Preparation, properties, and application in banana storage. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 263, Article 130299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.130299>
39. Mostolizadeh, S. (2024). Alginate, Polymer Purified from Seaweed. Chapter in a book: Alginate – Applications and Future Perspectives. IntechOpen, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.112666>
40. Wathoni, N., Suhandi, C., Ghassani Purnama, M., Mutmainnah, A., Nurbaniyah, N., Syaifa, D. et al. (2024). Alginate and chitosan-based hydrogel enhance antibacterial agent activity on topical application. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 17, 791–805. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S456403>
41. Fadjji, T., Rashvand, M., Daramola, M. O., Iwarere, S. A. (2023). A review on Antimicrobial packaging for extending the shelf life of food. *Processes*, 11(2), Article 590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11020590>
42. Andriani, V., Abyor Handayani, N. (2023). Recent technology of edible coating production: A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 87, 200–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2023.02.397>
43. Lai, J., Azad, A. K., Sulaiman, W. M. A. W., Kumarasamy, V., Subramanian, V., Alshehade, S. A. (2024). Alginate-based encapsulation fabrication technique for drug delivery: An updated review of particle type, formulation technique, pharmaceutical ingredient, and targeted delivery system. *Pharmaceutics*, 16(3), Article 370. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics16030370>
44. Cen, S., Fang, Q., Tong, L., Yang, W., Zhang, J., Lou, Q. et al. (2021). Effects of chitosan-sodium alginate-nisin preservatives on the quality and spoilage microbiota of *Penaeus vannamei* shrimp during cold storage. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 349, Article 109227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021.109227>
45. Ahmad, M. I., Li, Y., Pan, J., Liu, F., Dai, H., Fu, Y. et al. (2024). Collagen and gelatin: Structure, properties, and applications in food industry. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 254, Article 128037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.128037>
46. El-Sayed, S. M., Youssef, A. M. (2024). Emergence of cheese packaging by edible coatings for enhancing its shelf-life. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 18(7), 5265–5280. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-024-02564-0>
47. Rather, J. A., Kaur, G., Shah, I. A., Majid, D., Makroo, H. A., Dar, B. N. (2024). Sustainable gelatin-based packaging with nanoemulsified chilli seed oil for enhancing poultry meat preservation: An eco-friendly approach. *Food Chemistry Advances*, 5, Article 100761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focha.2024.100761>
48. Gabrić, D., Kurek, M., Šćetar, M., Brnčić, M., Galić, K. (2022). Effect of non-thermal food processing techniques on selected packaging materials. *Polymers*, 14(23), Article 5069. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14235069>
49. Szadkowski, B., Śliwka-Kaszyńska, M., Marzec, A. (2024). Bioactive and biodegradable cotton fabrics produced via synergic effect of plant extracts and essential oils in chitosan coating system. *Scientific Reports*, 14, Article 8530. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-59105-4>
50. Vanaraj, R., Suresh Kumar, S. M., Mayakrishnan, G., Rathinam, B., Kim, S. C. (2024). A current trend in efficient biopolymer coatings for edible fruits to enhance shelf life. *Polymers*, 16(18), Article 2639. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16182639>
51. Fernández-Cancelo, P., Giné-Bordonaba, J., Pérez-Gago, M. B., Palou, L., Torres, R., Echeverria, G. et al. (2024). A hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC)-based coating inhibits ethylene-dependent quality changes and reduces superficial scald incidence and blue mould severity during postharvest handling of two apple varieties. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 207, Article 112610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2023.112610>
52. Hahn, S., Hennecke, D. (2023). What can we learn from biodegradation of natural polymers for regulation? *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 35(1), Article 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-023-00755-y>
53. Schneider, G., Steinbach, A., Putics, Á., Solti-Hodován, Á., Palkovics, T. (2023). Potential of essential oils in the control of listeria monocytogenes. *Microorganisms*, 11(6), Article 1364. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11061364>
54. Rob, Md. M., Pappu, Md. M. H., Arifin, Md. S., Era, T. N., Akhi, M. Z., Bhattacharjya, D. K. et al. (2024). Application and evaluation of plant-based edible active coatings to enhance the shelf-life and quality attributes of Jara lebu (*Citrus medica*). *Discover Food*, 4, Article 26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44187-024-00094-8>
55. Kumar, A., Yadav, S., Pramanik, J., Sivamaruthi, B. S., Jayeoye, T. J., Prajapati, B. G. et al. (2023). Chitosan-based composites: Development and perspective in food preservation and biomedical applications. *Polymers*, 15(15), Article 3150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15153150>
56. Metha, C., Pawar, S., Suvarna, V. (2024). Recent advancements in alginate-based films for active food packaging applications. *Sustainable Food Technology*, 2(5), 1246–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3FB00216K>
57. Gaidau, C., Răpă, M., Stanca, M., Tanase, M.-L., Olariu, L., Constantinescu, R. R. et al. (2023). Fish scale gelatin nanofibers with helichrysum italicum and lavenderula latifolia essential oils for bioactive wound-healing dressings. *Pharmaceutics*, 15(12), Article 2692. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics15122692>
58. Vermelho, A. B., Moreira, J. V., Junior, A. N., da Silva, C. R., Cardoso, V. da S., Akamine, I. T. (2024). Microbial preservation and contamination control in the baking industry. *Fermentation*, 10(5), Article 231. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation10050231>
59. Upadhyay, P., Zubair, M., Roopesh, M. S., Ullah, A. (2024). An overview of advanced antimicrobial food packaging: Emphasizing antimicrobial agents and polymer-based films. *Polymers*, 16(14), Article 2007. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16142007>
60. Cheng, C., Chen, S., Su, J., Zhu, M., Zhou, M., Chen, T. et al. (2022). Recent advances in carrageenan-based films for food packaging applications. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 9, Article 1004588. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.1004588>
61. Fukala, I., Kučera, I. (2024). Natural polyhydroxyalkanoates – An overview of bacterial production methods. *Molecules*, 29(10), Article 2293. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29102293>
62. Vadalà, R., De Maria, L., De Pasquale, R., Di Salvo, E., Lo Vecchio, G., Di Bella, G. et al. (2024). Development of a chitosan-based film from shellfish waste for the preservation of various cheese types during storage. *Foods*, 13(13), Article 2055. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13132055>
63. Santativongchai, P., Tulayakul, P., Jeon, B. (2023). Enhancement of the antibiofilm activity of nisin against listeria monocytogenes using food plant extracts. *Pathogens*, 12(3), Article 444. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12030444>
64. Shankar, S., Mohanty, A. K., DeEll, J. R., Carter, K., Lenz, R., Misra, M. et al. (2024). Advances in antimicrobial techniques to reduce postharvest loss of fresh fruit by microbial reduction. *Npj Sustainable Agriculture*, 2(1), Article 25. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44264-024-00029-x>
65. Liñán-Atero, R., Aghababaei, F., García, S. R., Hasiri, Z., Ziogkas, D., Moreno, A. et al. (2024). Clove essential oil: Chemical profile, biological activities, encapsulation strategies, and food applications. *Antioxidants*, 13(4), Article 488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13040488>
66. Bento de Carvalho, T., Silva, B. N., Tomé, E., Teixeira, P. (2024). Preventing fungal spoilage from raw materials to final product: Innovative preservation techniques for fruit fillings. *Foods*, 13(17), Article 2669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13172669>
67. Kurek, M., Pišonić, P., Šćetar, M., Jančić, T., Čanak, I., Vidaček Filipić, S. et al. (2024). Edible coatings for fish preservation: Literature data on storage temperature, product requirements, antioxidant activity, and coating performance – A review. *Antioxidants*, 13(11), Article 1417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox13111417>
68. Hakeem, M. J., Lu, X. (2021). Survival and control of campylobacter in poultry production environment. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 10, Article 615049. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.615049>
69. Husak, Y., Ma, J., Wala-Kapica, M., Leśniak, K., Babilas, D., Blacha-Grzechnik, A. et al. (2024). Antibacterial coatings on magnesium formed via plasma electrolytic oxidation in CuO suspension. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 323, Article 129627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2024.129627>
70. Riolo, M., Villena, A. M., Calpe, J., Luz, C., Meca, G., Tuccitto, N. et al. (2024). A circular economy approach: A new formulation based on a lemon peel medium activated with lactobacilli for sustainable control of post-harvest fungal rots in fresh citrus fruit. *Biological Control*, 189, Article 105443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2024.105443>
71. Edo, G. I., Mafe, A. N., Ali, A. B. M., Akpogheli, P. O., Yousif, E., Apameio, J. I. et al. (2025). Chitosan and its derivatives: A novel approach to gut microbiota modulation and immune system enhancement. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 289, Article 138633. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.138633>
72. Kaur, R., Pathak, L., Vyas, P. (2024). Biobased polymers of plant and microbial origin and their applications – A review. *Biotechnology for Sustainable Materials*, 1(1), Article 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44316-024-00014-x>
73. Jogaiah, S., Mujtaba, A. G., Mujtaba, M., Archana, De Britto, S., Geetha, N. et al. (2025). Chitosan-metal and metal oxide nanocomposites for active and intelligent food Packaging: A comprehensive review of emerging trends and

- associated challenges. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 357, Article 123459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2025.123459>
74. Kocić-Tanackov, S., Pavlović, H. (2023). Natural antimicrobial agents utilized in food preservation. *Foods*, 12(18), Article 3484. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12183484>
  75. Karnwal, A., Kumar, G., Singh, R., Selvaraj, M., Malik, T., Al Tawaha, A. R. M. (2025). Natural biopolymers in edible coatings: Applications in food preservation. *Food Chemistry: X*, 25, Article 102171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2025.102171>
  76. Gabrić, D., Kurek, M., Šćetar, M., Brnčić, M., Galić, K. (2023). Characterization of synthetic polymer coated with polymeric layer with natural orange peel extract aimed for food packaging. *Polymers*, 15(11), Article 2569. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15112569>
  77. Perez-Vazquez, A., Barciela, P., Carpena, M., Prieto, M. (2023). Edible coatings as a natural packaging system to improve fruit and vegetable shelf life and quality. *Foods*, 12(19), Article 3570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12193570>
  78. Mohanan, N., Montazer, Z., Sharma, P. K., Levin, D. B. (2020). Microbial and enzymatic degradation of synthetic plastics. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11, Article 580709. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709>
  79. Burelo, M., Hernández-Varela, J. D., Medina, D. I., Treviño-Quintanilla, C. D. (2023). Recent developments in bio-based polyethylene: Degradation studies, waste management and recycling. *Heliyon*, 9(11), Article e21374. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21374>
  80. Tan, C., Han, F., Zhang, S., Li, P., Shang, N. (2021). Novel bio-based materials and applications in antimicrobial food packaging: Recent advances and future trends. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(18), Article 9663. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22189663>
  81. Kim, D.-Y., Patel, S. K. S., Rasool, K., Lone, N., Bhatia, S. K., Seth, C. S. et al. (2024). Bioinspired silver nanoparticle-based nanocomposites for effective control of plant pathogens: A review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 908, Article 168318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168318>
  82. Barretto, R., Qi, G., Jones, C., Li, Y., Sun, X. S., Wang, D. (2024). Bio-based disposable containers for food services. *Advances in Polymer Technology*, 2024, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/5536535>
  83. González-López, M. E., Calva-Estrada, S. de J., Gradilla-Hernández, M. S., Barajas-Álvarez, P. (2023). Current trends in biopolymers for food packaging: A review. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, Article 1225371. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1225371>
  84. Gil, M., Rudy, M. (2023). Innovations in the packaging of meat and meat products—a review. *Coatings*, 13(2), Article 353. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings13020353>
  85. Fadji, T., Rashvand, M., Daramala, M. O., Iwarere, S. A. (2023). A review on antimicrobial packaging for extending the shelf life of food. *Processes*, 11(2), Article 590. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11020590>
  86. Yang, W., Li, J., Yao, Z., Li, M. (2024). A review on the alternatives to antibiotics and the treatment of antibiotic pollution: Current development and future prospects. *Science of The Total Environment*, 926, Article 171757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.171757>
  87. Muñoz-Tebar, N., Pérez-Álvarez, J. A., Fernández-López, J., Viuda-Martos, M. (2023). Chitosan edible films and coatings with added bioactive compounds: Antibacterial and antioxidant properties and their application to food products: A review. *Polymers*, 15, Article 396. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15020396>
  88. Tan, L. F., Yap, V. L., Rajagopal, M., Wiart, C., Selvaraja, M., Leong, M. Y. et al. (2022). Plant as an alternative source of antifungals against aspergillus infections: A review. *Plants*, 11, Article 3009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11223009>
  89. Kocira, A., Kozłowicz, K., Panasiwicz, K., Staniak, M., Szpunar-Krok, E., Hortyńska, P. (2021). Polysaccharides as edible films and coatings: Characteristics and influence on fruit and vegetable quality — A review. *Agronomy*, 11(5), Article 813. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050813>
  90. Kumari, S., Debbarma, R., Nasrin, N., Khan, T., Taj, S., Bhuyan, T. (2024). Recent advances in packaging materials for food products. *Food Bioengineering*, 3(2), 236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fbe.2.12096>
  91. Pinto, L., Bonifacio, M. A., De Giglio, E., Santovito, E., Cometa, S., Bevilacqua, A. et al. (2021). Biopolymer hybrid materials: Development, characterization, and food packaging applications. *Food Packaging and Shelf Life*, 28, Article 100676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2021.100676>
  92. Barik, M., BhagyaRaj, G. V. S., Dash, K. K., Shams, R. (2024). A thorough evaluation of chitosan-based packaging film and coating for food product shelf-life extension. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 16, Article 101164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101164>
  93. Lewandowski, K., Skórczewska, K. (2022). A brief review of Poly(Vinyl Chloride) (PVC) recycling. *Polymers*, 14(15), Article 3035. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14153035>
  94. Saberi Rishet, R., Vatankhah, M., HassaniSaadi, M., Kennedy, J. F. (2023). Chitosan-based nanocomposites as coatings and packaging materials for the post-harvest improvement of agricultural product: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 309, Article 120666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2023.120666>
  95. Liu, Y., Xu, W. Z., Charpentier, P. A. (2020). Synthesis of VO<sub>2</sub>/Poly(MMA-co-DMEMUABr) antimicrobial/thermochromic dual-functional coatings. *Progress in Organic Coatings*, 142, Article 105589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2020.105589>
  96. Naser, A. Z., Deiab, I., Defersha, F., Yang, S. (2021). Expanding poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) applications: A review on modifications and effects. *Polymers*, 13(23), Article 4271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13234271>
  97. Shiva, K., Soleimani, A., Morshediani, J., Farahmandghavi, F., Shokrolahi, F. et al. (2024). Improving the antibacterial properties of polyethylene food packaging films with Ajwain essential oil adsorbed on chitosan particles. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), Article 28802. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-80549-7>
  98. Zeng, L., Fan, A., Yang, G., Nong, Y., Lu, Y., Yang, R. et al. (2024). Nisin and ε-polylysine combined treatment enhances quality of fresh-cut jackfruit at refrigerated storage. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 11, Article 1299810. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1299810>
  99. Said, N. S., Sarbon, N. M. (2022). Physical and mechanical characteristics of gelatin-based films as a potential food packaging material: A review. *Membranes*, 12(5), Article 442. <https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes12050442>
  100. Hussain, S., Akhter, R., Maktedar, S. S. (2024). Advancements in sustainable food packaging: From eco-friendly materials to innovative technologies. *Sustainable Food Technology*, 2(5), 1297–1364. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4FB00084F>
  101. Mohammed, M., Jawad, A. J. M., Mohammed, A. M., Oleiwi, J. K., Adam, T., Osman, A. F. et al. (2023). Challenges and advancement in water absorption of natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites. *Polymer Testing*, 124, Article 108083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymertesting.2023.108083>
  102. Rezić, I., Somogyi Škoc, M. (2024). Computational methodologies in synthesis, preparation and application of antimicrobial polymers, biomolecules, and nanocomposites. *Polymers*, 16(16), Article 2320. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16162320>
  103. Mukherjee, C., Varghese, D., Krishna, J. S., Boominathan, T., Rakeshkumar, R., Dineshkumar, S. et al. (2023). Recent advances in biodegradable polymers — properties, applications and future prospects. *European Polymer Journal*, 192, Article 112068. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2023.112068>
  104. Jha, S., Akula, B., Enyiom, H., Novak, M., Amin, V., Liang, H. (2024). Biodegradable biobased polymers: A Review of the state of the art, challenges, and future directions. *Polymers*, 16(16), Article 2262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polym16162262>
  105. Lieu, M. D., Dang, T. K. T., Nguyen, T. H. (2024). Green synthesized silver nanoparticles, a sustainable approach for fruit and vegetable preservation: An overview. *Food Chemistry: X*, 23, Article 101664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2024.101664>
  106. Sateriale, D., Forgione, G., De Cristofaro, G. A., Pagliuca, C., Colicchio, R., Salvatore, P. et al. (2023). Antibacterial and antibiofilm efficacy of thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) essential oil against foodborne illness pathogens, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* Serovar Typhimurium and *Bacillus cereus*. *Antibiotics*, 12(5), Article 485. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12030485>
  107. Ozuna-Valencia, K. H., Moreno-Vásquez, M. J., Graciano-Verdugo, A. Z., Rodríguez-Félix, F., Robles-García, M. Á., Barreras-Urbina, C. G. et al. (2024). The application of organic and inorganic nanoparticles incorporated in edible coatings and their effect on the physicochemical and microbiological properties of seafood. *Processes*, 12(9), Article 1889. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr12091889>
  108. Li, S., Jiang, Y., Wang, M., Li, R., Dai, J., Yan, J. et al. (2022). 3D printing of essential oil/β-cyclodextrin/popping candy modified atmosphere packaging for strawberry preservation. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 297, Article 120037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.120037>
  109. Dai, J., Sameen, D. E., Zeng, Y., Li, S., Qin, W., Liu, Y. et al. (2022). An overview of tea polyphenols as bioactive agents for food packaging applications. *LWT*, 167, Article 113845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113845>
  110. Khalid, S., Hassan, S. A., Javaid, H., Zahid, M., Naem, M., Bhat, Z. F. et al. (2024). Factors responsible for spoilage, drawbacks of conventional packaging, and advanced packaging systems for tomatoes. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 15, Article 100962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100962>
  111. Isopencu, G., Deleanu, I., Busuioc, C., Oprea, O., Surdu, V.-A., Bacalum, M. et al. (2023). Bacterial cellulose-carboxymethylcellulose composite loaded with turmeric extract for antimicrobial wound dressing applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(2), Article 1719. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24021719>
  112. Chaudhari, A. K., Das, S., Dwivedi, A., Dubey, N. K. (2023). Application of chitosan and other biopolymers based edible coatings containing essential oils as green and innovative strategy for preservation of perishable food products: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 253, Article 127688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.127688>
  113. da Silva, D. J., Gramscianinov, G. B., Jorge, P. Z., Malaquias, V. B., Mori, A. A., Hirata, M. H. et al. (2023). PVC containing silver nanoparticles with antimicrobial properties effective against SARS-CoV-2. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 11, Article 1083399. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2023.1083399>
  114. Ikkene, D., Eggenberger, O. M., Schoenenberger, C.-A., Palivan, C. G. (2023). Engineering antimicrobial surfaces by harnessing polymeric nanoassemblies. *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science*, 66, Article 101706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cocis.2023.101706>
  115. Miranda, M., Bai, J., Pilon, L., Torres, R., Casals, C., Solsona, C. et al. (2024). Fundamentals of edible coatings and combination with biocontrol agents: A strategy to improve postharvest fruit preservation. *Foods*, 13(18), Article 2980. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13182980>
  116. Li, H., Xu, H. (2024). Mechanisms of bacterial resistance to environmental silver and antimicrobial strategies for silver: A review. *Environmental Research*, 248, Article 118513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2024.118513>
  117. Monika, P., Krishna, R. H., Hussain, Z., Nandhini, K., Pandurangi, S. J., Malek, T. et al. (2025). Antimicrobial hybrid coatings: A review on applications of nano ZnO based materials for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials Advances*, 172, Article 214246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioadv.2025.214246>
  118. Mikhailova, E. O. (2020). Silver nanoparticles: Mechanism of action and probable bio-application. *Journal of Functional Biomaterials*, 11(4), Article 84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb11040084>
  119. Mafe, A. N., Büßelberg, D. (2024). Impact of metabolites from foodborne pathogens on cancer. *Foods*, 13(23), Article 3886. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13233886>
  120. Chen, S.-T., Chien, H.-W., Cheng, C.-Y., Huang, H.-M., Song, T.-Y., Chen, Y.-C. et al. (2021). Drug-release dynamics and antibacterial activities of chitosan/cefazolin coatings on Ti implants. *Progress in Organic Coatings*, 159, Article 106385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2021.106385>
  121. Song, Q., Chan, S. Y., Xiao, Z., Zhao, R., Zhang, Y., Chen, X. et al. (2024). Contact-killing antibacterial mechanisms of polycationic coatings: A review. *Progress in Organic Coatings*, 188, Article 108214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.porgcoat.2024.108214>
  122. Georgakopoulos-Soares, I., Papazoglou, E. L., Karmiris-Obratański, P., Karkalos, N. E., Markopoulos, A. P. (2023). Surface antibacterial properties enhanced through engineered textures and surface roughness: A review. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 231, Article 113584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2023.113584>
  123. Lainioti, G. C., Druvari, D. (2024). Designing antibacterial-based quaternary ammonium coatings (surfaces) or films for biomedical applications: Recent advances. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(22), Article 12264. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms252212264>

124. Edo, G.I., Mafe, A.N., Ali, A.B.M., Akpogheli, P.O., Yousif, E., Apamejo, J.I. et al. (2025). Chitosan and its derivatives: A novel approach to gut microbiota modulation and immune system enhancement. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 289, Article 138653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.138653>
125. Ferreres, G., Ivanova, K., Ivanov, I., Tzanov, T. (2023). Nanomaterials and coatings for managing antibiotic-resistant biofilms. *Antibiotics*, 12(2), Article 310. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12020310>
126. Wu-Wu, J. W. F., Guadamuz-Mayorga, C., Oviedo-Cerdas, D., Zamora, W. J. (2023). Antibiotic resistance and food safety: Perspectives on new technologies and molecules for microbial control in the food industry. *Antibiotics*, 12(3), Article 550. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12030550>
127. Ghosh, S., Sarkar, T., Chakraborty, R. (2021). Formation and development of biofilm—an alarming concern in food safety perspectives. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 38, Article 102210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2021.102210>
128. Yin, W., Xu, S., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Chou, S.-H., Galperin, M. Y. et al. (2021). Ways to control harmful biofilms: Prevention, inhibition, and eradication. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 47(1), 57–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2020.1842325>
129. Fernández-Gómez, P., Muro-Fraguas, I., Múgica-Vidal, R., Sainz-García, A., Sainz-García, E., González-Raurich, M. et al. (2022). Development and characterization of anti-biofilm coatings applied by Non-Equilibrium Atmospheric Plasma on stainless steel. *Food Research International*, 152, Article 109891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109891>
130. Caykara, T., Fernandes, S., Braga, A., Rodrigues, J., Rodrigues, L. R., Silva, C. J. (2023). Can superhydrophobic PET surfaces prevent bacterial adhesion? *Nanomaterials*, 13(6), Article 1117. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano13061117>
131. Iaconis, A., De Plano, L. M., Caccamo, A., Franco, D., Conoci, S. (2024). Anti-biofilm strategies: A focused review on innovative approaches. *Microorganisms*, 12(4), Article 639. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12040639>
132. Le, P. H., Linklater, D. P., Medina, A. A., MacLaughlin, S., Crawford, R. J., Ivanova, E. P. (2024). Impact of multiscale surface topography characteristics on *Candida albicans* biofilm formation: From cell repellence to fungicidal activity. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 177, 20–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2024.02.006>
133. Uzoma, P. C., Etim, I.-I. N., Okonkwo, B. O., Olanrele, O. S., Njoku, D. I., Kola-wole, S. K. et al. (2023). Recent design approaches, adhesion mechanisms, and applications of antibacterial surfaces. *Chemical Engineering Journal Advances*, 16, Article 100563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.100563>
134. Iñiguez-Moreno, M., Gutiérrez-Lomeli, M., Avila-Novoa, M. G. (2021). Removal of mixed-species biofilms developed on food contact surfaces with a mixture of enzymes and chemical agents. *Antibiotics*, 10(8), Article 931. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10080931>
135. Alfei, S., Schito, G. C., Schito, A. M., Zuccari, G. (2024). Reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated antibacterial oxidative therapies: Available methods to generate ROS and a novel option proposal. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(13), Article 7182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25137182>
136. Mitra, A. (2024). Combatting biofilm-mediated infections in clinical settings by targeting quorum sensing. *The Cell Surface*, 12, Article 100133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2024.100133>
137. Leulmi Pichot, S., Joisten, H., Grant, A. J., Diény, B., Cowburn, R. P. (2020). Magneto-mechanically actuated microstructures to efficiently prevent bacterial biofilm formation. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), Article 15470. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72406-8>
138. Fontecha-Umaña, F., Ríos-Castillo, A. G., Ripolles-Avila, C., Rodríguez-Jerez, J. J. (2020). Antimicrobial activity and prevention of bacterial biofilm formation of silver and zinc oxide nanoparticle-containing polyester surfaces at various concentrations for use. *Foods*, 9(4), Article 442. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9040442>
139. Tanasã, F., Nechifor, M., Teacă, C.-A. (2024). Essential oils as alternative green broad-spectrum biocides. *Plants*, 13(23), Article 3442. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13233442>
140. Jung, J., Wen, J., Sun, Y. (2019). Amphiphilic quaternary ammonium chitosans self-assemble onto bacterial and fungal biofilms and kill adherent microorganisms. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 174, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.10.078>
141. Edo, G.I., Mafe, A.N., Ali, A.B.M., Akpogheli, P.O., Yousif, E., Isoje, E.F. et al. (2025). Green biosynthesis of nanoparticles using plant extracts: Mechanisms, advances, challenges, and applications. *BioNanoScience*, 15, Article 267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12668-025-01883-w>
142. Edo, G.I., Mafe, A.N., Ali, A.B.M., Akpogheli, P.O., Yousif, E., Isoje, E.F. et al. (2025). Evaluation of different antimicrobial polymeric coatings for food contact surfaces. *Discover Food*, 5, Article 179. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44187-025-00487-3>
143. Nwachukwu, S. C., Edo, G. I., Jikah, A. N., Emakpor, O. L., Akpogheli, P. O., Agbo, J. J. et al. (2024). Recent advances in the role of mass spectrometry in the analysis of food: A review. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 18(6), 4272–4287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-024-02492-z>
144. Bibi, A., Afza, G., Afzal, Z., Farid, M., Sumrra, S. H., Hanif, M. A. et al. (2024). Synthetic vs. natural antimicrobial agents for safer textiles: A comparative review. *RSC Advances*, 14(42), 30688–30706. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4RA04519I>
145. Jiang, H., Li, L., Li, Z., Chu, X. (2024). Metal-based nanoparticles in antibacterial application in biomedical field: Current development and potential mechanisms. *Biomedical Microdevices*, 26(1), Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10544-023-00686-8>
146. Reda, A. T., Park, J. Y., Park, Y. T. (2024). Zinc oxide-based nanomaterials for microbiostatic activities: A review. *Journal of Functional Biomaterials*, 15(4), Article 103. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb15040103>
147. Khaldoun, K., Khizar, S., Saidi-Besbes, S., Zine, N., Errachid, A., Elaissari, A. et al. (2024). Synthesis of silver nanoparticles as an antimicrobial mediator. *Journal of Umm Al-Qura University for Applied Sciences*, 11(2), 274–293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43994-024-00159-5>
148. Hyla, K., Dusza, I., Skaradzinska, A. (2022). Recent advances in the application of bacteriophages against common foodborne pathogens. *Antibiotics*, 11(11), Article 1536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11111536>
149. Mondal, S. K., Chakraborty, S., Manna, S., Mandal, S. M. (2024). Antimicrobial nanoparticles: Current landscape and future challenges. *Pharmaceutics*, 1(3), 388–402. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4PM00032C>
150. Dube, E. (2024). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: Self-disinfecting surfaces for controlling microbial infections. *Microorganisms*, 12(8), Article 1573. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12081573>
151. Huq, Md. A., Apu, Md. A. I., Ashrafudoulla, Md., Rahman, Md. M., Parvez, Md. A. K., Balusamy, S. R. et al. (2023). Bioactive ZnO nanoparticles: Biosynthesis, characterization and potential antimicrobial applications. *Pharmaceutics*, 15(11), Article 2634. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics15112634>
152. Abdelshafy, A. M., Neetoo, H., Al-Asmari, F. (2024). Antimicrobial activity of hydrogen peroxide for application in food safety and COVID-19 mitigation: An updated review. *Journal of Food Protection*, 87(7), Article 100306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfp.2024.100306>
153. Salmani-Zarchi, H., Mousavi-Sagharchi, S. M. A., Sepahdoost, N., Ranjbar-Jamalabadi, M., Gross, J. D., Jooya, H. et al. (2024). Antimicrobial feature of nanoparticles in the antibiotic resistance era: From mechanism to application. *Advanced Biomedical Research*, 13(1), Article 113. <https://doi.org/10.4103/abr.92.24>
154. Owheruo, J. O., Edo, G. I., Ifesan, B. O., Bolade, M. K., Origbemiso, B. A., Akpogheli, P. O. et al. (2023). Evaluation of nutraceutical property of extruded breakfast cereal produced from blends of malted finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) and watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) seed flour. *Vegetos*, 37(6), 2347–2361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-023-00728-9>
155. Asimincesei, D.-M., Fertu, D. I., Gavrilesco, M. (2024). Impact of heavy metal pollution in the environment on the metabolic profile of medicinal plants and their therapeutic potential. *Plants*, 13(6), Article 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants13060913>
156. Edo, G. I., Samuel, P. O., Nwachukwu, S. C. (2023). Bioactive compounds and biological activities of tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus* L.). Chapter in a book: *Bioactive Compounds in the Storage Organs of Plants*. Springer, Cham, 2023. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29006-0\\_34-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29006-0_34-1)
157. Edo, G. I., Yousif, E., Al-Mashhadani, M. H. (2024). Chitosan: An overview of biological activities, derivatives, properties, and current advancements in biomedical applications. *Carbohydrate Research*, 542, Article 109199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2024.109199>
158. Edo, G. I., Onoharigho, F. O., Jikah, A. N., Agbo, J. J. (2024). The ameliorative effect of methanol extract of *Ricinodendron heudelotii* (Baill.) leaves on paracetamol-induced hepatotoxicity in Wistar rats. *Drug and Chemical Toxicology*, 48(1), 98–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01480545.2024.2362891>
159. Moses, R. J., Edo, G. I., Jikah, A. N., Agbo, J. J. (2024). Bioactive compounds and biological activities of garlic. *Current Food Science and Technology Reports*, 2(2), 111–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43555-024-00029-5>
160. Edo, G.I., Ndudi, W., Ali, A.B.M., Yousif, E., Jikah, A.N., Isoje, E.F. et al. (2025). Biopolymers: An inclusive review. *Hybrid Advances*, 9, Article 100418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2025.100418>
161. Ndudi, W., Edo, G. I., Samuel, P. O., Jikah, A. N., Opiti, R. A., Ainyanbor, I. E. et al. (2024). Traditional fermented foods of Nigeria: Microbiological safety and health benefits. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization*, 18(6), 4246–4271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-024-02490-1>
162. Farid, N., Waheed, A., Motwani, S. (2023). Synthetic and natural antimicrobials as a control against food borne pathogens: A review. *Heliyon*, 9(6), Article e17021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17021>
163. Quinto, E. J., Caro, L., Villalobos-Delgado, L. H., Mateo, J., De-Mateo-Silleras, B., Redondo-Del-Río, M. P. (2019). Food safety through natural antimicrobials. *Antibiotics*, 8(4), Article 208. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8040208>
164. Vereshchagin, A. N., Frolov, N. A., Egorova, K. S., Seitkalieva, M. M., Ananikov, V. P. (2021). Quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) and ionic liquids (ILs) as biocides: From simple antiseptics to tunable antimicrobials. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(13), Article 6793. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22136793>
165. Akpogheli, P. O., Edo, G. I., Ali, S. I., Kasar, K. A., Zainulabdeen, K., Mohammed, A. A. et al. (2024). Effect of processing on the microbiological, proximate, antinutritional and mineral profile of selected yellow cassava varieties and sorghum malt as potential raw materials for alcoholic beverage production. *Beverage Plant Research*, 4(1), 0–0. <https://doi.org/10.48130/bpr-0024-0022>
166. Ifedineze, O. V., Nnaji, N. D., Anumudu, C. K., Ekwueme, C. T., Uhegwu, C. C., Ihenetu, F. C. et al. (2024). Environmental antimicrobial resistance: Implications for food safety and public health. *Antibiotics*, 13(11), Article 1087. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics13111087>
167. Crnčević, D., Ramić, A., Kastelic, A. R., Odžak, R., Krce, L., Weber, I. et al. (2024). Naturally derived 3-aminoquinuclidine salts as new promising therapeutic agents. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), Article 26211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-77647-5>
168. Boyce, J. M. (2023). Quaternary ammonium disinfectants and antiseptics: Tolerance, resistance and potential impact on antibiotic resistance. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 12(1), Article 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-01241-z>
169. Hopf, F. S. M., Roth, C. D., de Souza, E. V., Galina, L., Czczot, A. M., Machado, P. et al. (2022). Bacterial enoyl-reductases: The ever-growing list of fabs, their mechanisms and inhibition. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, Article 891610. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.891610>
170. Jikah, A. N., Edo, G. I. (2024). Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*): An insight into its food applications, phytochemistry and pharmacological properties. *Vegetos*, 38(3), 845–866. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-024-01038-4>
171. Pozzebon, E. A., Seifert, L. (2023). Emerging environmental health risks associated with the land application of biosolids: A scoping review. *Environmental Health*, 22(1), Article 57. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-023-01008-4>
172. Arnold, W. A., Blum, A., Branyan, J., Bruton, T. A., Carignan, C. C., Cortopassi, G. et al. (2023). Quaternary ammonium compounds: A chemical class of emerging concern. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 57(20), 7645–7665. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c08244>

173. Edo, G. I., Ndudi, W., Makia, R. S., Ainyanbhor, I. E., Yousif, E., Gaaz, T. S. et al. (2024). Beta-glucan: An overview in biological activities, derivatives, properties, modifications and current advancements in food, health and industrial applications. *Process Biochemistry*, 147, 347–370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2024.09.011>
174. Anand, U., Carpena, M., Kowalska-Górska, M., Garcia-Perez, P., Sunita, K., Bontempi, E. et al. (2022). Safer plant-based nanoparticles for combating antibiotic resistance in bacteria: A comprehensive review on its potential applications, recent advances, and future perspective. *Science of The Total Environment*, 821, Article 153472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153472>
175. Jikah, A. N., Edo, G. I., Makia, R. S., Yousif, E., Gaaz, T. S., Isoje, E. F. et al. (2024). A review of the therapeutic potential of sulfur compounds in *Allium sativum*. *Measurement: Food*, 15, Article 100195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meafao.2024.100195>
176. Marin-Kuan, M., Pagnotti, V., Patin, A., Moulin, J., Latado, H., Varela, J. et al. (2023). Interlaboratory study to evaluate a testing protocol for the safety of food packaging coatings. *Toxics*, 11(2), Article 156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics11020156>
177. Salam, Md. A., Al-Amin, Md. Y., Pawar, J. S., Akhter, N., Lucy, I. B. (2023). Conventional methods and future trends in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 30(5), Article 103582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2023.103582>
178. Gangwar, R., Salem, M. M., Maurya, V. K., Bekhit, M. M., Singh, N., Amara, A. A. F. et al. (2024). Exploring time-killing and biofilm inhibition potential of bioactive proteins extracted from two varieties of *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 15, Article 1456358. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1456358>
179. Rios, D. A. da S., Nakamoto, M. M., Braga, A. R. C., da Silva, E. M. C. (2022). Food coating using vegetable sources: Importance and industrial potential, gaps of knowledge, current application, and future trends. *Applied Food Research*, 2(1), Article 100073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afres.2022.100073>
180. Zhang, X., Hou, X., Ma, L., Shi, Y., Zhang, D., Qu, K. et al. (2023). Analytical methods for assessing antimicrobial activity of nanomaterials in complex media: Advances, challenges, and perspectives. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 21(1), Article 97. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-023-01851-0>
181. Akpogheli, P. O., Edo, G. I., Kasar, K. A., Zainulabdeen, K., Yousif, E., Mohammed, A. A. et al. (2024). Impact of different nitrogen sources, initial pH and varying inoculum size on the fermentation potential of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on wort obtained from sorghum substrate. *Food Materials Research*, 4(1), Article e021. <https://doi.org/10.48130/fmr-0024-0012>
182. Hossain, T. J. (2024). Methods for screening and evaluation of antimicrobial activity: A review of protocols, advantages, and limitations. *European Journal of Microbiology and Immunology*, 14(2), 97–115. <https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2024.00035>
183. Edo, G. I., Ndudi, W., Makia, R. S., Jikah, A. N., Yousif, E., Gaaz, T. S. et al. (2024). Nutritional immunological effects and mechanisms of chemical constituents from the homology of medicine and food. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 24(5), 4183–4217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-024-10034-0>
184. Korte, I., Petry, M., Kreyenschmidt, J. (2023). Antimicrobial activity of different coatings for packaging materials containing functional extenders against selected microorganisms typical for food. *Food Control*, 148, Article 109669. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2023.109669>
185. Edo, G. I., Yousif, E., Al-Mashhadani, M. H. (2024). Chitosan: Modification and biodegradability of by-products. *Polymer Bulletin*, 81(18), 16457–16507. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-024-05510-8>
186. Owhero, J. O., Edo, G. I., Makia, R. S., Gaaz, T. S., Okolie, M. C., Nwaogu, M. U. et al. (2024). Nutritional qualities of cookies made from wheat/cashew nut composite flour. *Food and Humanity*, 3, Article 100452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooHum.2024.100452>
187. Pramanik, S. K., Bhuiyan, M., Robert, D., Roychand, R., Gao, L., Cole, I. et al. (2024). Bio-corrosion in concrete sewer systems: Mechanisms and mitigation strategies. *Science of The Total Environment*, 921, Article 171231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.171231>
188. Edo, G. I., Ndudi, W., Ali, A. B. M., Yousif, E., Zainulabdeen, K., Onyibe, P. N. et al. (2024). Poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC): An updated review of its properties, polymerization, modification, recycling, and applications. *Journal of Materials Science*, 59(47), 21605–21648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-024-10471-4>
189. Edo, G. I., Nwachukwu, S. C., Makia, R. S., Jikah, A. N., Yousif, E., Gaaz, T. S. et al. (2024). Unveiling the Chinese or red date (*Ziziphus jujuba*); its phytochemical, botanical, industrial and pharmacological properties: A review. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 24(5), 4237–4270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-024-10037-x>
190. Schwiibert, K., Richter, A. M., Krüger, J., Bonse, J. (2023). Laser-textured surfaces: A way to control biofilm formation? *Laser and Photonics Reviews*, 18(1), Article 2300753. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202300753>
191. Mafe, A. N., Büsselberg, D. (2024). Mycotoxins in food: Cancer risks and strategies for control. *Foods*, 13(21), Article 3502. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13213502>
192. Hussein, A. K., Yousif, E., Rasheed, M. K., Edo, G. I., Bufaroosha, M., Umar, H. (2024). Synthesis, modification, and applications of poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC). *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Materials*, 64(5), 593–632. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25740881.2024.2421436>
193. Srinivasan, R., Santhakumari, S., Poonguzhali, P., Geetha, M., Dyavaiah, M., Xiangmin, L. (2021). Bacterial biofilm inhibition: A focused review on recent therapeutic strategies for combating the biofilm mediated infections. *Front Microbiol Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, Article 676458. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.676458>
194. Bharadishettar, N., Bhat K. U., Bhat Panemangalore, D. (2021). Coating technologies for copper based antimicrobial active surfaces: A perspective review. *Metals*, 11(5), Article 711. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met11050711>
195. Anand, U., Reddy, B., Singh, V. K., Singh, A. K., Kesari, K. K., Tripathi, P. et al. (2021). Potential environmental and human health risks caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB), antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) and emerging contaminants (ECs) from municipal solid waste (MSW) landfill. *Antibiotics*, 10(4), Article 374. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10040374>
196. Peretz-Andersson, E., Tabares, S., Mikalef, P., Parida, V. (2024). Artificial intelligence implementation in manufacturing SMEs: A resource orchestration approach. *International Journal of Information Management*, 77, Article 102781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2024.102781>
197. Elahi, M., Afolaranmi, S. O., Martinez Lastra, J. L., Perez Garcia, J. A. (2023). A comprehensive literature review of the applications of AI techniques through the lifecycle of industrial equipment. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 3(1), Article 43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-023-00089-x>

AUTHOR INFORMATION	СВЕДЕНИЯ ОБ АВТОРАХ
Affiliation	Принадлежность к организации
<p><b>Great I. Edo</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria E-mail: greatiruo@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2048-532X">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2048-532X</a> * corresponding author</p>	<p><b>Эдо Г. И.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, кафедра химии, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: greatiruo@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2048-532X">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2048-532X</a> * автор для контактов</p>
<p><b>Alice N. Mafe</b>, Lecturer, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Taraba State University ATC, 660213, Jalingo, Taraba State, Nigeria E-mail: mafealice@tsuniversity.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4155-5819">https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4155-5819</a></p>	<p><b>Мафе Э. Н.</b> — преподаватель, кафедра биологических наук, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет Тарабы ATC, 660213, Джалинго, штат Тараба, Нигерия E-mail: mafealice@tsuniversity.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4155-5819">https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4155-5819</a></p>
<p><b>Tayser S. Gaaz</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Prosthetics and Orthotics Engineering, College of Engineering and Technologies, Al-Mustaqbal University Hilla, Babylon Governorate, Iraq E-mail: tayser.sumer.gaaz@uomus.edu.iq ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2352-7879">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2352-7879</a></p>	<p><b>Гааз Т. С.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, Кафедра протезирования и ортопедической инженерии, Инженерно-технологический колледж, Университет Аль-Мустакбаль Хилла, Провинция Вавилон, Ирак E-mail: tayser.sumer.gaaz@uomus.edu.iq ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2352-7879">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2352-7879</a></p>
<p><b>Izuwa Iwanegbe</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin P.M.B. 1154, Ugbowo, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria E-mail: izuwa.iwanegbe@uniben.edu ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3076-1072">https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3076-1072</a></p>	<p><b>Иванегбе И.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, кафедра пищевых наук и питания, сельскохозяйственный факультет, Университета Бенин P.M.B. 1154, Угбово, Бенин-Сити, штат Эдо, Нигерия E-mail: izuwa.iwanegbe@uniben.edu ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3076-1072">https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3076-1072</a></p>
<p><b>Agatha N. Jikah</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Near East University Near East Boulevard, 99138, Nicosia, Cyprus E-mail: agathajikah@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-1202-2048-7328">https://orcid.org/0000-1202-2048-7328</a></p>	<p><b>Джиках А. Н.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, кафедра фармацевтики, фармацевтический факультет, Ближневосточный университет Ближневосточный бульвар, 99138, Никозия, Кипр E-mail: agathajikah@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-1202-2048-7328">https://orcid.org/0000-1202-2048-7328</a></p>
<p><b>Kugbere Emumejaye</b>, PhD, Senior Lecturer, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Nigeria P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria E-mail: ekugbere@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4812-8313">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4812-8313</a></p>	<p><b>Эмумеджайе К.</b> — PhD, старший преподаватель, кафедра физики, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: ekugbere@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4812-8313">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4812-8313</a></p>

AUTHOR INFORMATION	СВЕДЕНИЯ ОБ АВТОРАХ
Affiliation	Принадлежность к организации
<p><b>Emad Yousif</b>, PhD, Professor, Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, Al-Nahrain University Al Jadriyah Bridge, 64074, Baghdad, Iraq E-mail: emad_yousif@hotmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1458-4724">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1458-4724</a></p>	<p><b>Юсиф Э.</b> — PhD, профессор, кафедра химии, Колледж естественных наук Университет Аль-Нахраин Мост Аль-Джадрия, 64074, Багдад, Ирак E-mail: emad_yousif@hotmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1458-4724">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1458-4724</a></p>
<p><b>Joseph O. Owhero</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology, Ozoro, Nigeria P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria. E-mail: owherojoseph@yahoo.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1588-8175">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1588-8175</a></p>	<p><b>Оверуо Дж. О.</b> — PhD, лектор, кафедра пищевой науки и технологии, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологии Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: owherojoseph@yahoo.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1588-8175">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1588-8175</a></p>
<p><b>Ufuoma A. Igbuku</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria E-mail: igbukuuu@dsust.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0230-0562-1588-8565">https://orcid.org/0230-0562-1588-8565</a></p>	<p><b>Игбуку У. А.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, кафедра химии, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: igbukuuu@dsust.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0230-0562-1588-8565">https://orcid.org/0230-0562-1588-8565</a></p>
<p><b>Ephraim E. A. Oghro</b>, Lecturer, Department of Petroleum Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Delta State University of Science and Technology P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria E-mail: oghroee@dsust.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4532-6543">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4532-6543</a></p>	<p><b>Огро Э. Э. А.</b> — преподаватель, кафедра химии нефти, факультет естественных наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: oghroee@dsust.edu.ng ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4532-6543">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4532-6543</a></p>
<p><b>Raghda S. Makia</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Plant Biotechnology, College of Biotechnology, Al-Nahrain University Al Jadriyah Bridge, 64074, Baghdad, Iraq E-mail: raghdahmakia@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1490-7469">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1490-7469</a></p>	<p><b>Макия Р. С.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, кафедра биотехнологии растений, Колледж биотехнологии, Университет Аль-Нахраин Мост Аль-Джадрия, 64074, Багдад, Ирак E-mail: raghdahmakia@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1490-7469">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1490-7469</a></p>
<p><b>Arthur E. A. Essaghah</b>, PhD, Professor, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Delta State University of Science and Technology P. M. B. 05, Ozoro-Kwale Road, Ozoro, Delta State, Nigeria E-mail: arthuresa2006@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7040-2900">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7040-2900</a></p>	<p><b>Эссагах А. Э. А.</b> — PhD, профессор, кафедра городского и регионального планирования, факультет экологических наук, Государственный университет науки и технологий Дельта P. M. B. 05, дорога Озоро-Квале, Озоро, штат Дельта, Нигерия E-mail: arthuresa2006@gmail.com ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7040-2900">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7040-2900</a></p>
<p><b>Dina S. Ahmed</b>, PhD, Lecturer, Department of Chemical and Petroleum Industries Engineering Techniques, Polytechnic College of Engineering Specializations — Baghdad, Middle Technical University 10074, Baghdad, Iraq E-mail: dina_saadi@mtu.edu.iq ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2205-4061">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2205-4061</a></p>	<p><b>Ахмед Д. С.</b> — PhD, преподаватель, Факультет инженерных технологий химической и нефтяной промышленности, Политехнический колледж инженерных специальностей — Багдад, Средний технический университет 10074, Багдад, Ирак E-mail: dina_saadi@mtu.edu.iq ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2205-4061">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2205-4061</a></p>
<p><b>Huzaifa Umar</b>, PhD, Senior Scientist, Operational Research Centre in Healthcare, Near East University Near East Boulevard, 99138, Nicosia, Cyprus E-mail: huzaifa.umar@neu.edu.tr ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2508-9710">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2508-9710</a></p>	<p><b>Умар Х.</b> — PhD, старший научный сотрудник, Центр оперативных исследований в области здравоохранения, Ближневосточный университет Ближневосточный бульвар, 99138, Никозия, Кипр E-mail: huzaifa.umar@neu.edu.tr ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2508-9710">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2508-9710</a></p>
<p><b>Contribution</b></p>	<p><b>Критерии авторства</b></p>
<p>Authors equally relevant to the writing of the manuscript and equally responsible for plagiarism.</p>	<p>Авторы в равных долях имеют отношение к написанию рукописи и одинаково несут ответственность за плагиат.</p>
<p><b>Conflict of interest</b></p>	<p><b>Конфликт интересов</b></p>
<p>The authors declare no conflict of interest.</p>	<p>Авторы заявляют об отсутствии конфликта интересов.</p>